arXiv:0909.1735v1 [math.RT] 9 Sep 2009

Infinite Dimensional Multiplicity Free Spaces III: Matrix Coefficients and Regular Functions

Joseph A. Wolf*

8 September 2009

Abstract

In earlier papers we studied direct limits $(G, K) = \varinjlim (G_n, K_n)$ of two types of Gelfand pairs. The first type was that in which the G_n/K_n are compact riemannian symmetric spaces. The second type was that in which $G_n = N_n \rtimes K_n$ with N_n nilpotent, in other words pairs (G_n, K_n) for which G_n/K_n is a commutative nilmanifold. In each we worked out a method inspired by the Frobenius–Schur Orthogonality Relations to define isometric injections $\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(G_n/K_n) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_m/K_m)$ for $m \geqq n$ and prove that the left regular representation of G on the Hilbert space direct limit $L^2(G/K) := \varinjlim L^2(G_n/K_n)$ is multiplicity–free. This left open questions concerning the nature of the elements of $L^2(G/K)$. Here we define spaces $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ of regular functions on G_n/K_n and injections $\nu_{m,n} : \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) \to \mathcal{A}(G_m/K_m)$ for $m \geqq n$ related to restriction by $\nu_{m,n}(f)|_{G_n/K_n} = f$. Thus the direct limit $\mathcal{A}(G/K) := \varinjlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}$ sits as a particular G–submodule of the much larger inverse limit $\varprojlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \text{restriction}\}$. Further, we define a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ derived from that of $L^2(G/K)$. This allows an interpretation of $L^2(G/K)$ as the Hilbert space completion of the concretely defined function space $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$, and also defines a G–invariant inner product on $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ for which the left regular representation of G is multiplicity–free.

1 Introduction

Gelfand pairs (G, K), and the corresponding commutative homogeneous spaces G/K generalize both the concept of riemannian symmetric space and that of a familiar family of riemannian nilmanifolds. Let G be a locally compact topological group and K a compact subgroup. Then (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, i.e. $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$ is commutative under convolution, if and only if the (left regular) representation of G on $L^2(G/K)$ is multiplicity free.

In two earlier notes ([W4] and [W5]) we looked at certain cases where G and K are not locally compact, in fact are infinite dimensional of the form $\varinjlim(G_n, K_n)$ where the (G_n, K_n) are finite dimensional Gelfand pairs in the usual sense. We showed in those cases that the multiplicity–free

---

*Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 22E65, 17B65; Secondary 22E70, 43A85

Key Words: Commutative space, symmetric space, commutative nilmanifold, matrix coefficient, Gelfand pair, direct limits

condition is satisfied when $L^2(G/K)$ is interpreted as a certain Hilbert space direct limit of the usual $L^2(G_n/K_n)$. That direct limit made use of renormalizations inherent in the Frobenius–Schur othogonality relations for matrix coefficients of irreducible unitary representations, so the meaning of the elements of $L^2(G/K)$ was not immediate.

Here we define a ring of regular functions $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ whose nature is transparent. It is a subalgebra of $\varprojlim \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ where $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ is the ring of regular functions in the usual sense and $\varprojlim \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ is defined by restriction of functions. This is accompanied by a G–equivariant injective map $\mathcal{A}(G/K) \to L^2(G/K)$ with dense image. That leads to the main results of this note, both for the direct limits of compact symmetric spaces of [W4] in Section 3 and for the direct limits of commutative nilmanifolds of [W5] in Sections 4 and 5. In both cases the results are that

(1.1) $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ injects to a dense subspace of $L^2(G/K)$, so $L^2(G/K)$ defines a G–invariant inner product on $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$, the regular representation of G on $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ is unitarized, and $L^2(G/K)$ can be interpreted as the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$.

2 The Ring of Regular Functions

In this section we describe the general setup needed for constructing the ring of regular functions on direct systems of Lie groups and commutative spaces, and compare them with L^2 direct limits. We will specialize to parabolic direct systems of compact Lie groups compact riemannian symmetric spaces in Section 3. Then we further specialize the results of this section to direct systems of certain classes of nilpotent Lie groups and commutative nilmanifolds in Sections 4 and 5.

2A Direct Limit Representations.

We consider direct limit groups $G = \varinjlim G_n$ and direct limit unitary representations $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$ of them. This means that π_n is a unitary representation of G_n on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$, that the $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$ form a direct system whose maps are unitary, and that π is the representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_\pi = \varinjlim \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$ given by

$$\pi(g)v = \pi_n(g_n)v_n \text{ for } n \gg 0 \text{ so that } V_n \hookrightarrow V \text{ and } G_n \hookrightarrow G \text{ send } v_n \to v \text{ and } g_n \to g. \tag{2.1}$$

This formal definition amounts to saying that π is a well defined unitary representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$.

If $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$ we have the *matrix coefficient*

$$f_{u,v,n} : G_n \to \mathbb{C} \text{ defined by } f_{u,v,n}(g) = \langle u, \pi_n(g)v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}$ is a G_n–equivariant unitary injection for $m \geqq n$, we may view $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}$. This done, we have

$$\langle u, \pi_n(g)v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}} = \langle u, \pi_m(g)v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}} \text{ for } u, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \text{ and } g \in G_n\,, \tag{2.3}$$

in other words $f_{u,v,n} = f_{u,v,m}|_{G_n}$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$. Now these coefficients form a direct system. Formally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}(\pi_n) := \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } f_{u,v,n} \text{ where } u, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}\} \\ &\mathcal{A}(\pi_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\pi_m) \text{ using (2.3), and } \mathcal{A}(\pi) := \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(\pi_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In words, $\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ is the space of *regular functions* on G_n defined by π_n and $\mathcal{A}(\pi)$ is the space of *regular functions* on G defined by π. They correspond to the idea of trigonometric polynomials inside L^2 of the circle, or more generally to the idea of Harish–Chandra module.

Note that $\mathcal{A}(\pi)$ is contained in the projective limit $\varprojlim \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ (defined by restriction of functions), but it is much smaller.

2B Square Integrable Direct Limits

From now on we assume that the groups G_n are separable, unimodular, locally compact and of Type I. (We will be dealing with commutative spaces G_n/K_n, and the commutativity implies unimodularity for G_n). Then one has the classical decomposition

$$L^2(G_n) = \int_{\widehat{G_n}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}) d(\pi_n) \tag{2.5}$$

where $d(\pi_n)$ is Plancherel measure on the unitary dual $\widehat{G_n}$ and the projective tensor product $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}$ contains $\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ as a dense subspace. This expresses a function $f \in L^1(G_n) \cap L^2(G_n)$ in the form $f(g) = \int_{\widehat{G_n}} \pi_n(f) d(\pi_n)$ where $\pi_n(f) = \int_{G_n} f(g)\pi_n(g)dg \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$ and where one has the Plancherel formula $||f||_2^2 = \int_{\widehat{G_n}} ||\pi_n(f)||^2_{HS} d(\pi_n)$.

Fix a closed subgroup Z_n in G_n that is co–compact in the center. It can be $\{1\}$ if G_n has compact center. If $\chi \in \widehat{Z_n}$ let $\widehat{G_{n,\chi}} = \{\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n} \mid \pi_n(xz) = \chi(z)^{-1}\pi_n(x)\}$ and consider the Hilbert space $L^2(G_{n,\chi})$ of L^2 sections of the G_n–bundle $(G_n \times_\chi \mathbb{C}) \to G_n/Z_n$. Then

$$L^2(G_{n,\chi}) = \int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n) \tag{2.6}$$

where $d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n)$ is Plancherel measure on $\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}$. The decomposition (2.5) then decomposes further into

$$L^2(G_n) = \int_{\widehat{Z_n}} L^2(G_{n,\chi}) d_n(\chi) \tag{2.7}$$

An irreducible unitary representation $\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n}$ is called *square integrable* if its coefficients $f_{u,v,n}$ satisfy $|f_{u,v,n}| \in L^2(G_n/Z_n)$. This makes sense because Z_n is co–compact in the center of G_n. Then one has the Godement–Frobenius–Schur orthogonality relations. In particular there is a number $\deg \pi_n > 0$ (called the *formal degree*) such that

$$||f_{u,v,n}||^2_{L^2(G_n/Z_n)} = \frac{1}{\deg \pi_n} ||u||^2_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}} ||v||^2_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}} . \tag{2.8}$$

Now suppose that we have the $\widehat{G_n}$ arranged so that we have direct systems $\{\pi_n\}$ of unitary representations and, for each n, every $\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n}$ belongs to exactly one of those direct systems. Write $\beta_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}$ for the direct system maps on the representation spaces. For each such direct system we have the direct limit representation $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$ of $G = \varinjlim G_n$ and the direct limit representation space $\mathcal{H}_\pi = \varinjlim \{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}, \beta_{m,n,\pi}\}$.

We now make two strong assumptions on the system $\{G_n\}$. First, suppose that we can (and do) choose the co–compact closed central subgroups $Z_n \subset G_n$ so that

$$G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1} \text{ maps } Z_n \cong Z_{n+1}\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Then we can write Z for each of the groups Z_n, and each of the direct systems $\{\pi_n\}$ has a common central character $\chi \in \widehat{Z}$. We now make the further assumption on the groups G_n that

$$\text{Plancherel–almost–all } \pi_n \in \widehat{G_n} \text{ are square integrable: their } |f_{u,v,n}| \in L^2(G_n/Z). \tag{2.10}$$

As described in [W4] and [W5] we use (2.8) and (2.10) to scale the inclusions $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_m}$ for $m \geqq n$ by means of formal degrees and obtain $(G_n \times G_n)$–equivariant isometric inclusions

$$\zeta_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_m} \text{ defined by } f_{u,v,n} \mapsto \left(\tfrac{\deg \pi_m}{\deg \pi_n}\right)^{1/2} f_{u,v,m}. \tag{2.11}$$

In other words $\zeta_{m,n,\pi} = \frac{\deg \pi_m}{\deg \pi_n}(\beta_{m,n,\pi} \otimes \beta_{m,n,\pi^*})$. That gives us direct systems of Hilbert spaces and the direct limits

$$\mathcal{H}_\pi = \varinjlim \{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}, \beta_{m,n,\pi}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_\pi \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_\pi = \varinjlim \{(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}), \zeta_{m,n,\pi}\}, \tag{2.12}$$

representation spaces for the irreducible unitary representations $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$ of G and $\pi \otimes \pi^*$ of $G \times G$.

In order to sum the $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}$ and the $\mathcal{H}_\pi \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_\pi$ as in (2.6), to form $L^2(G_\chi) = \varinjlim L^2(G_{n,\chi})$, we need the direct integral of (2.6) to be consistent with the rescaling isometries of (2.11). Specifically we need

(2.13)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n) \arrow[r, "\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} \zeta_{m,n,\pi} d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n)"] \arrow[d, "\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} \zeta_{m,n,\pi} d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n)"] & \int_{\widehat{G_{m,\chi}}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_m} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_m}) d_{m,\chi}(\pi_m) \arrow[d, "id"] \\
\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} \zeta_{m,n,\pi} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n) \arrow[r] & \int_{\widehat{G_{m,\chi}}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_m} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_m}) d_{m,\chi}(\pi_m)
\end{tikzcd}$$

to commute for $m \geqq n$. In the cases studied here, the $\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}$ are discrete (or even finite), so the $L^2(G_{n,\chi})$ are discrete direct sums of irreducible representations. Then there is no consistency problem with the rescaling isometries: one simply takes their discrete direct sum.

Once the $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}$ have been summed as in (2.6), we need to control the integration over the $\widehat{Z}$ in (2.7) in order to pass to the limit and form $L^2(G) = \int_{\widehat{Z}} L^2(G_\chi) d(\chi)$. Here we need the conditions of (2.9), that every $Z_n = Z$, and then we need that

$$\text{for } m, n \gg 0 \text{ the measures } d_m \text{ and } d_n \text{ on } \widehat{Z} \text{ are mutually absolutely continuous.} \tag{2.14}$$

In the cases studied here condition (2.14) will be easy to verify.

2C Construction of $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

Our hypothesis (2.10) of square integrability says that Plancherel–almost–all $\mathcal{A}(\pi_n) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}$, in fact form a dense subspace there. We want the summation $L^2(G_{n,\chi}) = \int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n)$ to restrict to a summation of the $\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ and form a space $\mathcal{A}(G_{n,\chi})$ consisting of regular functions on G_n that transform by χ. In order to do this we must look at the detailed definition of direct integral.

Definition 2.15 Let $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a measure space. For each $y \in Y$ let $\mathcal{H}_y$ be a separable Hilbert space. Fix a family $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of maps $Y \to \bigcup_{y \in Y} \mathcal{H}_y$ such that

$$\text{(2.16)} \qquad \begin{aligned} &\text{(i) } s_\alpha(y) \in \mathcal{H}_y \text{ a.e. } (Y, \mathcal{M}, \tau), \text{ for all } \alpha \in A, \\ &\text{(ii) } y \mapsto \langle s_\alpha(y), s_\beta(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_y} \text{ belongs to } L^1(Y,\tau), \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in A, \text{ and} \\ &\text{(iii) } \mathcal{H}_y \text{ is the closed span of } \{s_\alpha(y)\}_{\alpha \in A} \text{ a.e. } (Y, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Then the **(Hilbert space) direct integral** defined by the measure space $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the family $\{\mathcal{H}_y \mid y \in Y\}$ of Hilbert spaces, and the family $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of maps, is the vector space

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_Y \mathcal{H}_y \, d\tau(y) : \text{all maps } s : Y \to \bigcup_{y \in Y} \mathcal{H}_y \text{ such that}$$

$$\text{(2.17)} \qquad \begin{aligned} &\text{(i) } s(y) \in \mathcal{H}_y \text{ a.e. } (Y, \tau), \\ &\text{(ii) } y \mapsto \langle s(y), s_\alpha(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_y} \text{ is measurable, for each } \alpha \in A, \text{ and} \\ &\text{(iii) } y \mapsto \langle s(y), s_\alpha(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_y} \text{ belongs to } L^1(Y,\tau), \text{ for all } \alpha \in A \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with inner product } \langle s, s'\rangle = \int_Y \langle s(y), s'(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_y} \, d\tau(y).$$

◇

The inner product of Definition 2.15 is well defined, and the direct integral $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space. Our problem now is to find an appropriate family $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of maps to $\bigcup_{\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n}} \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ in order to put the $\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ together to make spaces $\mathcal{A}(G_{n,\chi})$ and $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ of regular functions on G_n along the lines of a direct integral of Hilbert spaces. In other words we need the conditions that

$$\text{(2.18)} \quad \text{to form } L^2(G_{n,\chi}) = \int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n) \text{ we may choose the } s_\alpha \text{ with } s_\alpha(\pi_n) \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$$

in order to form the $\mathcal{A}(G_{n,\chi}) := \int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} \mathcal{A}(\pi_n) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n)$, and then we need the conditions

$$\text{(2.19)} \quad \text{to form } L^2(G_n) = \int_{\widehat{Z}} L^2(G_{n,\chi}) d_n(\chi) \text{ we may assume } s_\alpha\Big(\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} (\pi_n \otimes \pi_n^*) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}(G_{n,\chi}).$$

These conditions are automatic when the G_n are compact. In Sections 4 and 5 we will verify them for the cases where G_n is a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group that has square integrable representations.

Under the assumptions (2.13) and (2.14) for forming our direct limits of Hilbert spaces, and (2.18) and (2.19) for restricting to regular functions before the direct limits, we have the spaces

$$\mathcal{A}(G_n) := \int_{\widehat{Z}} \Big(\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} \mathcal{A}(\pi_n) d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n) \Big) d(\chi) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(G) := \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n). \tag{2.20}$$

Here the $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ form a direct system using ordinary restriction of functions, and $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is the direct limit of that system. Each $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(G_n)$ but, because of rescaling by the $\zeta_{m,n,\pi}$, we do not have $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as a subspace of $L^2(G)$. However there is a very useful relation which we now describe.

2D Comparison of Direct Limits.

In this section we will assume (2.13), (2.14), (2.18) and (2.19). Then we have direct systems $\{L^2(G_n)\} \to L^2(G)$ and $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\} \to \mathcal{A}(G)$. Now define $(G_n \times G_n)$–equivariant isometric inclusions

$$\eta_n : \mathcal{A}(\pi_n) \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n} \text{ by } f_{u,v,n} \mapsto \sqrt{\deg \pi_n}\, f_{u,v,n}. \tag{2.21}$$

Proposition 2.22 *The maps η_n of (2.21) satisfy $\eta_m \circ \zeta_{m,n,\pi}(f_{u,v,n}) = \zeta_{m,n,\pi} \circ \eta_n(f_{u,v,n})$ and send the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\}$ into the direct system $\{L^2(G_n)\}$. That map of direct systems defines a $(G \times G)$–equivariant injection*

$$\eta : \mathcal{A}(G) \to L^2(G)$$

with dense image. In particular η defines a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(G)$ with completion isometric to $L^2(G)$.

Proof. We extract the result from [NRW, Appendix A]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the category of topological vector spaces and continuous linear maps. We compute $\eta_m \circ \zeta_{m,n,\pi}(f_{u,v,n}) = \eta_n(f_{u,v,n})$, as asserted, and use (2.13) and (2.14) to patch the η_n together to form maps $\widetilde{\eta_n} : \mathcal{A}(G_n) \to L^2(G_n)$. The space $L^2(G_n)$ carries its usual topology and we give $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ the subspace topology. Now view $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\}$ and $\{L^2(G_n)\}$ as direct systems in the category $\mathcal{C}$. The $\widetilde{\eta_n}$ define a morphism $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\} \to \{L^2(G_n)\}$ of direct systems in $\mathcal{C}$, so the universal property of direct limits gives a morphism $\widetilde{\eta} : \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\} \to \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{L^2(G_n)\}$ of the direct limits in $\mathcal{C}$. Note that $\widetilde{\eta_n}$ is injective and $(G_n \times G_n)$–equivariant with dense image. It follows that $\widetilde{\eta}$ is injective and $(G \times G)$–equivariant with dense image.

The topological vector space direct limit $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{L^2(G_n)\}$ has a pre Hilbert space structure given by the Hilbert space structures on the $L^2(G_n)$, and the Hilbert space direct limit $L^2(G) = \varinjlim\{L^2(G_n)\}$ is its Hilbert space completion. Thus $\widetilde{\eta} : \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\} \to \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{L^2(G_n)\}$ is in fact a continuous linear map, injective and $(G \times G)$–equivariant, from $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\}$ to $L^2(G_n)$. Further, our original $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is obtained from $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{C}}\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\}$ by forgetting the topology. Thus in particular $\widetilde{\eta}$ maps $\mathcal{A}(G)$ into $L^2(G)$, and it is a $(G \times G)$–equivariant injection onto a dense subspace. $\square$

2E Homogeneous Spaces.

We now consider direct limit homogeneous spaces $G/K = \varinjlim G_n/K_n$. Specifically, we require that $G = \varinjlim G_n$, that the K_n are compact subgroups of the G_n such that $K_n = G_n \cap K_m$ for $m \geqq n$, and that $K = \varinjlim K_n$. We also assume (2.13), (2.14), (2.18) and (2.19) so that Proposition 2.22 applies to $\{G_n\}$ and G.

Since K_n is compact, $L^2(G_n/K_n) = L^2(G_n)^{K_n}$, the subspace consisting of the right–K_n–invariant L^2 functions on G_n. Of course we can define $L^2(G/K) = L^2(G)^K$, but for comparison with $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ we want $L^2(G/K)$ to be of the form $\varinjlim L^2(G_n/K_n)$. Since K_n is compact, (2.5) gives us

$$L^2(G_n/K_n) = L^2(G_n)^{K_n} = \int_{\widehat{G_n}} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n})^{K_n}\right) d(\pi_n). \tag{2.23}$$

we view the direct limit maps $\beta_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}$ as isometric inclusions and arrange the $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ into a direct system by requiring that

$$\text{orthogonal projection } p_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \text{ defines a bijective map } \mathcal{H}^{K_m}_{\pi_m} \to \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{\pi_n}. \tag{2.24}$$

Then every $u_m \in \mathcal{H}^{K_m}_{\pi_m}$ has unique expression $u_m = u_n + x$ with $u_n \in \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{\pi_n}$ and $x \perp \beta_{m,n,\pi}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n})$. As π_n is irreducible and $||u_m||^2 = ||u_n||^2 + ||x||^2$ we have a constant $c = c_{m,n,\pi}$ such that $||u_n|| = c||u_m||$. Here $0 < c \leqq 1$ and $u_n = p_{m,n,\pi}(u_m)$. Thus we have an isometry

$$\alpha_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{\pi_n} \cong \mathcal{H}^{K_m}_{\pi_m} \text{ by } \alpha_{m,n,\pi}(u_n) = c_{m,n,\pi} u_m \text{ where } u_n = p_{m,n,\pi}(u_m). \tag{2.25}$$

Now we have G_n–equivariant isometric injections

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n})^{K_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_m})^{K_m} \text{ defined by } f_{u,v_n,n} \mapsto c_{m,n,\pi} \left(\frac{\deg \pi_m}{\deg \pi_n}\right)^{1/2} f_{u,v_m,m}. \tag{2.26}$$

Then $\left\{\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n})^{K_n}\right), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi}\right\}$ is isomorphic to a subsystem of the Hilbert space direct system $\left\{\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n}\right), \zeta_{m,n,\pi}\right\}$ of (2.12). As a result we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi})^K = \varinjlim \left\{\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n})^{K_n}\right), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi}\right\} \tag{2.27}$$

in the Hilbert space category, and they fit together under the direct integral (2.23) to give us

$$L^2(G/K) := L^2(G)^K = \varinjlim \left\{L^2(G_n/K_n), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\right\}. \tag{2.28}$$

From (2.4) and (2.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n} = \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } f_{u,v_n,n} \text{ where } u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \text{ and } v_n \in \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{\pi_n}\} \text{ and} \\ &\nu_{m,n,\pi} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\pi_m)^{K_m} \text{ by } f_{u,v_n,n} \mapsto f_{u,v_m,m} \text{ where projection } p_{m,n,\pi}(v_m) = v_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Lemma 2.30 *If $f \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n}$ then $\nu_{m,n,\pi}(f)|_{G_n/K_n} = f$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$ and $v_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}^{K_n}$. Then $v_m = v_n + x \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}^{K_m}$ with $x \perp \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}$. Given $g \in G_n$ compute $(\nu_{m,n,\pi}(f_{u,v_n,n}))\,(g) = f_{u,v_m,m}(g) = \langle u, \pi_m(g)(v_n + x)\rangle = \langle \pi_m(g^{-1})u, v_n + x)\rangle = \langle \pi_m(g^{-1})u, v_n\rangle = \langle u, \pi_m(g)(v_n)\rangle = \langle u, \pi_n(g)(v_n)\rangle = f_{u,v_n,n}(g)$. $\square$

Equation 2.29 defines a direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n}, \nu_{m,n,\pi}\}$ and Lemma 2.30 shows that its maps are inverse to restriction. Thus the direct limit

$$\mathcal{A}(\pi)^K := \varinjlim\{\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n}, \nu_{m,n,\pi}\} \tag{2.31}$$

sits naturally as a G–submodule of the inverse limit $\varprojlim\{\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n},$ restriction$\}$. Now, from Proposition 2.22, we can take right K–invariants as follows.

Our assumptions (2.13) and (2.14) for forming our direct limits of Hilbert spaces, and (2.18) and (2.19) for restricting to regular functions before the direct limits, carry (2.20) over to K_n– and K–invariant regular functions as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) :=& \mathcal{A}(G_n)^{K_n} = \int_{\widehat{Z}} \Bigl(\int_{\widehat{G_{n,\chi}}} \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n} d_{n,\chi}(\pi_n) \Bigr) d(\chi) \\ &\text{and } \mathcal{A}(G/K) := \mathcal{A}(G)^K = \varinjlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

In view of Lemma 2.30 the $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ form a direct system whose maps are inverse to restriction of functions and $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ is the direct limit of that system. Each $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ but, because the $\nu_{m,n}$ are not isometric, we do not have $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ sitting naturally as a subspace of $L^2(G/K)$. As in the group level setting we can manage this in a somewhat abstract way. The G_n–equivariant isometric inclusions restrict to

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{n,\pi} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_n})^{K_n} \text{ by } f_{u,v,n} \mapsto c_{n,1,\pi}\sqrt{\deg \pi_n}\, f_{u,v,n}. \tag{2.33}$$

Proposition 2.34 *The maps $\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi}$ of* (2.26)*, $\nu_{m,n,\pi}$ of* (2.29) *and $\widetilde{\eta}_{n,\pi}$ of* (2.33) *satisfy*

$$(\widetilde{\eta}_{m,\pi} \circ \nu_{m,n,\pi})(f_{u,v,n}) = (\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi} \circ \widetilde{\eta}_{n,\pi})(f_{u,v,n})$$

for $f_{u,v,n} \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_n)^{K_n}$. Thus they inject the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)^{K_n}, \nu_{m,n}\}$ into the direct system $\{L^2(G_n)^{K_n}, \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\}$. That map of direct systems defines a G–equivariant injection

$$\widetilde{\eta} : \mathcal{A}(G/K) \to L^2(G/K)$$

with dense image. In particular $\widetilde{\eta}$ defines a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ with completion isometric to $L^2(G/K)$.

Proof. The point is that $c_{m,n,\pi} = c_{m,m-1,\pi} \cdot c_{m-1,m-2,\pi} \cdot \ldots \cdot c_{n+1,n,\pi}$; so $c_{m,n,\pi} = c_{m,1,\pi}/c_{n,1,\pi}$. Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi} \circ \widetilde{\eta}_{n,\pi})(f_{u,v_n,n}) &= \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\pi}(c_{n,1,\pi}\sqrt{\deg \pi_n}\, f_{u,v_n,n}) \\ &= c_{m,n,\pi} \left(\tfrac{\deg \pi_m}{\deg \pi_n}\right)^{1/2} c_{n,1,\pi}\sqrt{\deg \pi_n}\, f_{u,v_m,m} \\ &= c_{m,1,\pi}\sqrt{\deg \pi_m}\, f_{u,v_m,m} = (\widetilde{\eta}_{m,\pi} \circ \nu_{m,n,\pi})(f_{u,v_n,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Our assertions follow as in the proof of Proposition 2.22. $\square$

2F Commutative Spaces.

Suppose that the G_n/K_n are commutative spaces, i.e. that the (G_n, K_n) are Gelfand pairs. If $\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n}$ then $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}^{K_n} \leqq 1$, in other words either $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}^{K_n} = 0$ or there is a unique (up to scale) unit vector $v_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}^{K_n}$. In the latter case (2.24) simply asserts that v_m cannot be orthogonal to $\beta_{m,n,\pi}(v_n)$, so that Proposition 2.34 is valid. Here there is a problem: given $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}^{K_n} \neq 0$ and $m \geqq n$ we must have $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_m}^{K_m} \neq 0$. In the remainder of this paper we study two situations in which this holds: when the G_n/K_n are compact symmetric spaces, and in many cases where the G_n/K_n are commutative nilmanifolds.

3 Limits of Compact Lie Groups and Compact Symmetric Spaces

Suppose that G_n is a compact topological group. Then the Peter Weyl Theorem says that $L^2(G_n)$ is the Hilbert space direct sum of the spaces $\mathcal{A}(\pi_n)$ of coefficients, $\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n}$. In particular one has a dense subspace of $L^2(G_n)$ given by the algebraic direct sum

$$\mathcal{A}(G_n) = \sum\nolimits_{\pi_n \in \widehat{G_n}} \mathcal{A}(\pi_n). \tag{3.1}$$

When G_n is a compact Lie group, the spectrum of the ring $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ is a linear algebraic group, the *associated algebraic group* of G_n for which $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ is the ring of regular functions. This essentially is Tannaka's Theorem; see Chevalley [Ch, Chapter 6]. Thus $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ is the ring of regular functions on G_n as well as on its associated algebraic group. Note that the associated algebraic group is the complexification $(G_n)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

3A Parabolic Direct Limits of Groups.

We make the standing assumption for this section and the next that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{the } G_n \text{ are compact connected Lie groups and}\\ &\{G_n, \varphi_{m,n}\} \text{ is a strict parabolic direct system.}\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here *parabolic* means that the semisimple part of $\varphi_{m,n}(G_n)$ is the semisimple part of the centralizer of a toral subgroup of G_m, in other words that $\varphi_{m,n}([G_n, G_n])_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the semisimple component of a parabolic subgroup of $(G_m)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus we have Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_n \subset \mathfrak{g}_n$ such that $d\varphi_{m,n}(\mathfrak{h}_n) \subset \mathfrak{h}_m$ and simple root systems $\Psi_n \subset i\mathfrak{h}_n^*$ such that

$$\text{if } \psi \in \Psi_n \text{ then there is a unique } \psi' \in \Psi_m \text{ such that } d\varphi_{m,n}^*(\psi') = \psi. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we can enumerate the simple root systems as

$$\Psi_n = \{\psi_{n,1}, \dots, \psi_{n,\ell_n}\}, \ell_n = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g}_n, \text{ and } \psi_{n,j} = d\varphi_{m,n}^*(\psi_{m,j}) \text{ for } 1 \leqq j \leqq \ell_n \text{ and } m \geqq n. \tag{3.4}$$

Dually we have enumerations of the systems of fundamental weights as

$$\Xi_n = \{\xi_{n,1}, \dots, \xi_{n,\ell_n}\}, \ell_n = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g}_n, \text{ and } \xi_{n,j} = d\varphi_{m,n}^*(\xi_{m,j}) \text{ for } 1 \leqq j \leqq \ell_n \text{ and } m \geqq n. \tag{3.5}$$

Now the correspondence

$$k_1\xi_{n,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_n}\xi_{n,\ell_n} \mapsto k_1\xi_{m,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_m}\xi_{n,\ell_n}$$

sends dominant integral weights to dominant integral weights. Fix an index n_0 and a dominant integral weight λ_{n_0} for $(\mathfrak{g}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{h}_{n_0}, \Psi_{n_0})$. For $n \geqq n_0$ we now have a dominant integral weight λ_n for $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n, \Psi_n)$ and a corresponding direct system of irreducible representations of the G_n given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\pi_{n,\lambda}\} \text{ where } \lambda_{n_0} = k_1\xi_{n_0,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_{n_0}}\xi_{n_0,\ell_{n_0}} \text{ and} \\ &\qquad\qquad \pi_{n,\lambda} \in \widehat{G_n} \text{ has highest weight } \lambda_n = k_1\xi_{n,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_{n_0}}\xi_{n,\ell_{n_0}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Choose unit highest weight vectors $v_{n,\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}$. We embed representation spaces

$$\beta_{m,n,\lambda} = \beta_{m,n,\pi_\lambda} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{m,\lambda}} \text{ by } X(v_{n,\lambda}) \mapsto X(v_{m,\lambda}) \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_n). \tag{3.7}$$

Then we have the direct limit representation and its representation space:

$$\pi_\lambda = \varinjlim \pi_{n,\lambda} \text{ unitary representation of } G = \varinjlim G_n \text{ on } \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda} = \varinjlim \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

As in (2.11) we now have isometric injections

$$\zeta_{m,n} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{m,\lambda}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_{m,\lambda}} \text{ defined by } f_{u,v,n} \mapsto \left(\tfrac{\deg \pi_{m,\lambda}}{\deg \pi_{n,\lambda}}\right)^{1/2} f_{u,v,m}. \tag{3.9}$$

That gives us direct systems of Hilbert spaces and the direct limits

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_\lambda} = \varinjlim \{(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}), \zeta_{m,n}\}. \tag{3.10}$$

They are the representation spaces for the irreducible unitary representations π_λ of G and $\pi_\lambda \otimes \pi^*_\lambda$ of $G \times G$. The Peter–Weyl Theorem for Parabolic Direct Limits [W5, Theorem 4.3] exhibits the Hilbert space $L^2(G) := \varinjlim\{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}$ as the orthogonal direct sum of the $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_\lambda}$, and shows that the left/right regular representation of $G \times G$ on $L^2(G)$ the multiplicity–free discrete direct sum of irreducible representations $\pi_\lambda \otimes \pi^*_\lambda$ of highest weights (λ, λ^*).

The direct integral conditions (2.13) and (2.14) are automatic here because the integrals are direct sums. Thus, as λ varies, the (3.9) and their limits (3.10) sum to give us

$$\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \to L^2(G_m) \text{ defined by } f_{u,v,n} \mapsto \left(\tfrac{\deg \pi_{m,\lambda}}{\deg \pi_{n,\lambda}}\right)^{1/2} f_{u,v,m} \text{ for } u, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \tag{3.11}$$

and the limit Hilbert space

$$L^2(G) := \varinjlim\{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}. \tag{3.12}$$

3B The Ring of Regular Functions for Parabolic Direct Limits.

As noted earlier, the direct integral conditions (2.13), (2.14), (2.18) and (2.19) are automatic in the compact group setting. Thus Proposition 2.22 applies, showing that the $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G)$ follow the same pattern as in the Peter–Weyl Theorem for Parabolic Direct Limits, and the resulting map $\mathcal{A}(G) \to L^2(G)$ defines both a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(G)$ and an interpretation of the elements of $L^2(G)$ as the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

3C Parabolic Direct Limits of Compact Symmetric Spaces

Fix a parabolic direct system of compact connected Lie groups G_n and subgroups K_n such that each (G_n, K_n) is an irreducible riemannian symmetric pair. Suppose that the corresponding compact symmetric spaces $M_n = G_n/K_n$ are simply connected. Up to re–numbering and passage to a common cofinal subsequence the only possibilities are

(3.13)

Compact Irreducible Riemannian Symmetric Spaces $M_n = G_n/K_n$				
	G_n	K_n	RankM_n	DimM_n
1	$SU(n) \times SU(n)$	diagonal $SU(n)$	$n-1$	n^2-1
2	$Spin(2n+1) \times Spin(2n+1)$	diagonal $Spin(2n+1)$	n	$2n^2+n$
3	$Spin(2n) \times Spin(2n)$	diagonal $Spin(2n)$	n	$2n^2-n$
4	$Sp(n) \times Sp(n)$	diagonal $Sp(n)$	n	$2n^2+n$
5	$SU(p+q),\ p = p_n, q = q_n$	$S(U(p) \times U(q))$	$\min(p,q)$	$2pq$
6	$SU(n)$	$SO(n)$	$n-1$	$\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$
7	$SU(2n)$	$Sp(n)$	$n-1$	$2n^2-n-1$
8	$SO(p+q),\ p = p_n, q = q_n$	$SO(p) \times SO(q)$	$\min(p,q)$	pq
9	$SO(2n)$	$U(n)$	$[\frac{n}{2}]$	$n(n-1)$
10	$Sp(p+q),\ p = p_n, q = q_n$	$Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$	$\min(p,q)$	$4pq$
11	$Sp(n)$	$U(n)$	n	$n(n+1)$

These are the cases where the G_n form a parabolic direct system. Now we set about carrying the results of Sections 3A and 3B from $G = \varinjlim G_n$ to $M = \varinjlim M_n$ for the systems of Table 3.13.

3D Square Integrable Functions and Regular Functions.

Recall the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{k}_n + \mathfrak{s}_n$ under the symmetry θ of M_n. Here K_n is the identity component of the fixed point set G_n^θ, $\mathfrak{k}_n$ is the $+1$ eigenspace of $d\theta$, and $\mathfrak{s}_n$ is the -1 eigenspace. We assume the alignments $\theta_n = \theta_m|_{G_n}$ so $\mathfrak{k}_n \subset \mathfrak{k}_m$ and $\mathfrak{s}_n \subset \mathfrak{s}_m$. We recursively choose maximal abelian subspaces $\mathfrak{a}_n \subset \mathfrak{s}_n$ with $\mathfrak{a}_n \subset \mathfrak{a}_m$ and define $\mathfrak{m}_n$ to be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}_n$ in $\mathfrak{k}_n$. For the systems of Table 3.13 we have $\mathfrak{m}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}_m$, and we recursively choose Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}_n$ with that $\mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathfrak{t}_m$. Then the $\mathfrak{h}_n := \mathfrak{t}_n + \mathfrak{a}_n$ are θ–stable Cartan subalgebras of the $\mathfrak{g}_n$.

The restricted root systems

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) = \{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \mid \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n) \text{ and } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \neq 0\}$$

have consistent root orders

$$\text{if } \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_m, \mathfrak{a}_m) \text{ and } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \neq 0 \text{ then } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n),$$

and similarly we have consistent root orders on the $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_n, \mathfrak{t}_n)$. Together they define consistent positive root systems

$$\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n) = \{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n) \mid \text{ either } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n), \text{ or } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} = 0 \text{ and } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_n} \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{m}_n, \mathfrak{t}_n)\}.$$

Using the parabolic condition we have simple restricted root systems

$$\Psi_n = \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) \text{ such that if } \psi \in \Psi_n \text{ then there is a unique } \psi' \in \Psi_m \text{ such that } \psi = \psi'|_{\mathfrak{a}_n}.$$

Now as in (3.4) we enumerate

$$\Psi_n = \{\psi_{n,1}, \dots, \psi_{n,\ell_n}\}, \ell_n = \dim \mathfrak{a}_n, \text{ and } \psi_{n,j} = \psi_{m,j}|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \text{ for } 1 \leqq j \leqq \ell_n \text{ and } m \geqq n. \tag{3.14}$$

Using the root orders just described, the Cartan–Helgason Theorem says that the irreducible representation π_λ of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of highest weight λ gives a summand of the representation of G_n on $L^2(M_n)$ if and only if (i) $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}_n} = 0$, so we may view λ as an element of $i\mathfrak{a}_n^*$, and (ii) if $\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n)$ then $\frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$ is an integer $\geqq 0$.

Condition (i) of the Cartan–Helgason Theorem persists under restriction $\lambda \mapsto \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}}$ because $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{t}_n$. Given (i), condition (ii) says that $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ belongs to the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}_n$, so its restriction to $\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}$ exponentiates to a well defined function on the corresponding maximal torus of G_{n-1} and thus belongs to the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$. Given condition (i), our arrangements $\mathfrak{a}_n \subset \mathfrak{a}_m$ and $\mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathfrak{t}_m$ ensure that condition (ii) persists under restrictions $\lambda \mapsto \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}}$. With this in mind, we define linear functionals $\xi_{n,j} \in i\mathfrak{a}_n^*$ by

$$\tfrac{\langle \xi_{n,i}, \psi_{n,j} \rangle}{\langle \psi_{n,j}, \psi_{n,j} \rangle} = \delta_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leqq j \leqq r_n \text{ — except that } \tfrac{\langle \xi_{n,i}, \psi_{n,i} \rangle}{\langle \psi_{n,i}, \psi_{n,i} \rangle} = 2 \text{ if } 2\psi_{n,i} \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n). \tag{3.15}$$

The weights $\xi_{n,j}$ are the *class 1 fundamental highest weights* for $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{k}_n)$ Now as in Section 3A the correspondence

$$k_1\xi_{n,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_n}\xi_{n,\ell_n} \mapsto k_1\xi_{m,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_m}\xi_{n,\ell_n}$$

sends class 1 dominant integral weights to class 1 dominant integral weights and defines direct systems of irreducible class 1 representations by

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\pi_{n,\lambda}\} \text{ where } \lambda_{n_0} = k_1\xi_{n_0,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_{n_0}}\xi_{n_0,\ell_{n_0}} \text{ and} \\ &\qquad\qquad \pi_{n,\lambda} \in \widehat{G_n} \text{ has highest weight } \lambda_n = k_1\xi_{n,1} + \cdots + k_{\ell_{n_0}}\xi_{n,\ell_{n_0}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

As before, the corresponding embeddings of representation spaces $\beta_{m,n,\lambda} : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}$ are given by choices of highest weight unit vectors $v_{n,\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}$ and by $X(v_{n,\lambda}) \mapsto X(v_{m,\lambda})$ for $X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$. Again we have the direct limit representations and its representation space

$$\pi_\lambda = \varinjlim \pi_{n,\lambda} \text{ unitary representation of } G = \varinjlim G_n \text{ on } \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda} = \varinjlim\{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}, \beta_{m,n,\lambda}\}. \tag{3.17}$$

Note that π_λ is irreducible, has highest weight λ, and has a highest weight unit vector $v_\lambda = \varinjlim v_{n,\lambda}$ that is invariant under the action of $K = \varinjlim K_n$. Given $\{\lambda_n\}$ as in (3.16) we write $\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda}$ for $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}$.

Lemma 3.18 *For* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *as in* (3.16) *and each* $n \geqq n_0$ *let* $w_{n,\lambda}$ *be a unit vector in* $\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda}^{K_n}$. *Then orthogonal projection* $p_{m,n,\lambda} : \mathcal{H}_{m,\lambda} \to \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda}$ *maps* $w_{m,\lambda}$ *to a nonzero multiple* $c_{m,n,\lambda}w_{n,\lambda}$ *of* $w_{n,\lambda}$.

Proof. By G_n–equivariance of the projection, $p_{m,n,\lambda}(w_{m,\lambda})$ is K_n–invariant, hence is a multiple of $w_{n,\lambda}$. Suppose that the multiple is zero, i.e. that $w_{n,\lambda} \perp w_{m,\lambda}$. Then $w_{m,\lambda} \perp \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda}$, in particular $w_{m,\lambda}$ is orthogonal to the highest weight λ vector $v_{n,\lambda}$. As $v_{m,\lambda} = v_{n,\lambda}$, now $w_{m,\lambda} \perp v_{m,\lambda}$. But the argument of [Li, Proposition 2.2] shows that $w_{m,\lambda}$ cannot be orthogonal to $v_{m,\lambda}$. We conclude $p_{m,n,\lambda}(w_{m,\lambda}) = cw_{n,\lambda}$ for some nonzero constant c. □

Denote $\lambda^* = -w_0(\lambda)$ where w_0 is the longest element of the Weyl group. Then λ^* is the highest weight of the dual $\pi^*_{n,\lambda}$, and $\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} = \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda^*}}$. Given $\pi_{n,\lambda} \in \widehat{G_{n,K}}$ we have $\pi_{n,\lambda^*} \in \widehat{G_{n,K}}$ and we may suppose that its K_n–fixed unit vector w_{λ^*} is normalized so that the pairing $(w_\lambda, w_{\lambda^*}) = 1$. Then the space of right–K_n–invariant matrix coefficient functions corresponding to $\pi_{n,\lambda}$ is (as a module for the left translation action of G_n)

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}\right)^{K_n} \;=\; \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \otimes w_{\lambda^*}\mathbb{C} \;=\; \{f_{u,w_\lambda,n} \mid u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}\} \;\cong\; \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}. \tag{3.19}$$

Lemma 3.18 ensures (2.24) in our setting, where the regular representations of the G_n on the $L^2(M_n)$ are multiplicity free. Thus we have G_n–equivariant injections

$$\alpha_{m,n,\lambda^*} : \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{n,\lambda^*} \to \mathcal{H}^{K_m}_{m,\lambda^*}, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{n,\lambda} = w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C} \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{m,\lambda} = w_{m,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C} \tag{3.20}$$

as in (2.25), and

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda} : \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda} \otimes (w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{H}_{m,\lambda} \otimes (w_{m,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}) \text{ by } f_{u,w_n,n} \mapsto c_{m,n,\lambda}\left(\tfrac{\deg \pi_{m,\lambda}}{\deg \pi_{n,\lambda}}\right)^{1/2} f_{u,w_m,m} \tag{3.21}$$

as in (2.26). Now as in Section 2E, $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda} \otimes (w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}),\ \ \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda}\right\}$ is isomorphic to a subsystem of the system $\left\{\left(\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^*_{n,\lambda}\right), \zeta_{m,n,\lambda}\right\}$ of (3.11). As a result we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes w_{\lambda^*}\mathbb{C} := \varinjlim \left\{(\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda} \otimes w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}),\ \ \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda}\right\} \tag{3.22}$$

and they fit together under the direct integral (2.23), which here is reduced to a discrete direct sum, to give us $L^2(M)$ where $M = \varinjlim M_n$ as follows.

$$L^2(M) := L^2(G)^K = \varinjlim \left\{L^2(G_n/K_n), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\right\}. \tag{3.23}$$

We proceed as in Section 2E, but taking advantage of the fact that here the G_n are compact. Define

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,\lambda})^{K_n} = \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } f_{u,v_n,n} \text{ where } u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}} \text{ and } v_n \in \mathcal{H}^{K_n}_{\pi_{n,\lambda}}\}, \\ &\nu_{m,n,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,\lambda})^{K_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\pi_{m,\lambda})^{K_m} \text{ by } f_{u,v_n,n} \mapsto f_{u,v_m,m} \text{ where projection } p_{m,n,\lambda}(v_m) = v_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Thus Lemma 2.30 says: If $f \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,\lambda})^{K_n}$ then $\nu_{m,n,\lambda}(f)|_{G_n/K_n} = f$.

The ring of regular functions on $M_n = G_n/K_n$ is $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) := \mathcal{A}(G_n)^{K_n} = \sum_\lambda \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,\lambda})$ and the $\nu_{m,n,\lambda}$ sum to define a direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}$. Its limit is

$$\mathcal{A}(G/K) := \mathcal{A}(G)^K = \varinjlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}. \tag{3.25}$$

As before, the maps of the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}$ are inverse to restriction of functions, so $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ is a G–submodule of the inverse limit $\varprojlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n),\ \text{restriction}\}$.

Each $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ but, because the $\nu_{m,n}$ distort the Hilbert space structure, $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ does not sit naturally as a subspace of $L^2(G/K)$. Thus we use the G_n–equivariant maps

(3.26) $\widetilde{\eta}_{n,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,\lambda})^{K_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n}\widehat{\otimes}(w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C})$ by $f_{u,w_{n,\lambda},n} \mapsto c_{n,1,\lambda}\sqrt{\deg \pi_{n,\lambda}}\, f_{u,w_{n,\lambda},n}$.

where $c_{m,n,\lambda} = ||p_{m,n,\lambda}(w_{m,\lambda})||$. Now Proposition 2.34 specializes to

Proposition 3.27 *The maps* $\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda}$ *of* (3.21), $\nu_{m,n,\lambda}$ *of* (3.24) *and* $\widetilde{\eta}_{n,\lambda}$ *of* (3.26) *satisfy*

$$(\widetilde{\eta}_{m,\lambda} \circ \nu_{m,n,\lambda})(f_{u,v,n}) = (\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda} \circ \widetilde{\eta}_{n,\lambda})(f_{u,v,n})$$

for $f_{u,v,n} \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,\lambda})^{K_n}$. *Thus they inject the direct system* $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)^{K_n}, \nu_{m,n}\}$ *into the direct system* $\{L^2(G_n)^{K_n}, \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\}$. *That map of direct systems defines a* G*–equivariant injection*

$$\widetilde{\eta} : \mathcal{A}(G/K) \to L^2(G/K)$$

with dense image. In particular $\widetilde{\eta}$ *defines a pre Hilbert space structure on* $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ *with completion isometric to* $L^2(G/K)$.

4 Limits of Heisenberg Commutative Spaces.

We now turn to a class of commutative spaces G_n/K_n on which a nilpotent subgroup of G_n acts transitively. Then that nilpotent group must be the nilradical N_n of G_n, the isotropy subgroup K_n must be a compact group of automorphisms of N_n, and G_n must be the semidirect product $N_n \rtimes K_n$. See [W3, Chapter 13] for an exposition. These spaces usually are weakly symmetric, but they are not symmetric. Nevertheless they are accessible because N_n is very similar in structure to the Heisenberg group, and the theory is modelled on the Heisenberg group case. Here in Section 4 we study the Heisenberg group case, and we examine more general commutative nilmanifolds in Section 5.

4A Regular Functions on Heisenberg Groups.

We first consider the case where G_n is the Heisenberg group

$$H_n = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}^n \text{ with group composition } (z,w)(z',w') = (z + z' + \mathrm{Im}\,\langle w, w'\rangle, w + w').$$

There are two sorts of irreducible unitary representations. The ones that annihilate the center $Z = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$ of H_n are the (1–dimensional) unitary characters on the vector group $H_n/Z \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The ones that are nontrivial on Z, say $\pi_{n,t}$ with central character $\zeta_t(z,w) = e^{tz}$, are specified by the nonzero real number t and are realized on the Foch space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$ of entire holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^m} |f(w)|^2 e^{-|t||w|^2} dw < \infty$ where dw is Lebesgue measure. The representation is $[\pi_t(z,v)f](w) = e^{tz \pm t\mathrm{Im}\,(w-v/2)\cdot v} f(w-v)$ where $\pm$ is the sign of $t/|t|$.

For each real $t \neq 0$ the representation $\pi_{n,t}$ is square integrable (modulo the center of H_n) and has formal degree $|t|^n$.

For each multi-index $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$, $m_i \geqq 0$, we have the monomial $w^{\mathbf{m}} = w_1^{m_1} \dots w_n^{m_n}$. For $t \neq 0$ there is a constant $c_{n,t} > 0$ such that the $\mu_{\mathbf{m}} := w^{\mathbf{m}} / \sqrt{\mathbf{m}!} := (w_1^{m_1} \dots w_n^{m_n}) / \sqrt{(m_1! \dots m_n!)}$ satisfy

$$\int \mu_{\mathbf{m}}(w) \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}(w)} \exp(-|t||w|^2) dw = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{m}', \\ 1/c_{n,t} \text{ for } \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}. \end{cases}$$

Now we normalize the inner product on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,t}} = \mathcal{H}_{n,t}$ by

$$\langle f, f' \rangle = c_{n,t} \int f(w) \overline{f'(w)} \exp(-|t||w|^2) dw. \tag{4.1}$$

Then the monomials $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}$ form a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,t}}$.

In Definition 2.15 of direct integral now, take $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \tau) = (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{M}, |t|^n dt)$ where $\mathcal{M}$ consists of the Borel sets. For each multiindex $\mathbf{m}$ define $s_{\mathbf{m}} : Y :\to \bigcup \mathcal{H}_{n,t}$ by $s_{\mathbf{m}}(t) = e^{-|t|}\mu_m$. Then $\langle s_{\mathbf{m}}(t), s_{\mathbf{m}'}(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}} = e^{-2|t|}\delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} \in L^1(Y, \tau)$. In fact
(4.2)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle s_{\mathbf{m}}(t), s_{\mathbf{m}}(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}} |t|^n dt = 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2t} t^n dt = 2^{-n} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2t} 2^{n+1} t^n dt = 2^{-n} \Gamma(n+1) = n!/2^n.$$

Thus (2.16) is satisfied and we have the Hilbert space of (2.17):

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{n,t} |t|^n dt \text{ defined by } (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{M}, |t|^n dt) \text{ and the } s_{\mathbf{m}}(t) = e^{-|t|}\mu_{\mathbf{m}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Here $|t|^n dt$ is the Plancherel measure for the Heisenberg group H_n. Since $|t|^n dt$ and dt are mutually absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we can reformulate (4.3) as $\mathcal{H}_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{n,t} \, dt$, which is independent of n, for purposes of taking direct limits.

For multiindices $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{m}'$ define $r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} : Y :\to \bigcup \mathcal{H}_{n,t} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{n,t}^*$ by $r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t) = e^{-|t|}\mu_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}$. The $\mu_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}$ form a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{n,t}^*$ so $\langle r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t), r_{\mathbf{m}'',\mathbf{m}'''}(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}} = e^{-2|t|}\delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}''}\delta_{\mathbf{m}',\mathbf{m}'''}$, which is in $L^1(Y, \tau)$ as before because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t), r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}} |t|^n dt = 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2t} t^n dt = 2^{-n}\Gamma(n+1) = n!/2^n$. Since the 1–dimensional representations in $\widehat{H_n}$ form a set of Plancherel measure zero, and $|t|^n dt$ is the restriction of Plancherel measure to $\{\pi_{n,t} \mid t \neq 0\}$ this gives us

$$L^2(H_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{H}_{n,t} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{n,t}^*) |t|^n dt \tag{4.4}$$

where the direct integral is defined by $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{M}, |t|^n dt)$ and the $r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t) = e^{-|t|}\mu_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}$. Now we carry this over to rational functions.

Definition 4.5 *For each real polynomial $p(t)$ define $p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t) = e^{-|t|}p(t)\mu_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}$. Let $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$ denote the set of all finite linear combinations of the $p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}$ with multiplication $(p'_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} \times p''_{\ell,\ell'})(t) = [p'(t)p''(t)](\mu_{\mathbf{m}+\ell} \otimes \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'+\ell'}})$. The elements of $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$ are the* regular functions *on H_n and $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$ is the* ring of regular functions *on H_n. Note that $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$ is isomorphic to the ring of polynomials on the complex extension $\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}^n$ of the underlying vector space structure of $H_n = \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}^n$.*

Lemma 4.6 *The ring $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$ of regular functions on H_n is a dense subspace of $L^2(H_n)$.*

Proof. The pointwise inner product $\langle p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t), r_{\mathbf{m}'',\mathbf{m}'''}(t)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}} = e^{-2|t|}p(t)\delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}''}\delta_{\mathbf{m}',\mathbf{m}'''}$. Computing as before, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2|t|}|t^k||t|^n dt = (k+n)!/2^{k+n}$ for integral $k \geqq 0$. If $p(t) = \sum_0^d p_k t^k$ this shows

$$||\langle p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t), r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\},\mathcal{M},|t|^n dt)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{-2|t|}p(t)||t|^n dt \leqq \sum_0^d |p_k|(k+n)!/2^{k+n} < \infty,$$

so each $\langle p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t), r_{\mathbf{m}'',\mathbf{m}'''}(t)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n,t}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\},\mathcal{M},|t|^n dt)$. This proves $\mathcal{A}(H_n) \subset L^2(H_n)$.

Let $f \in L^2(H_n)$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$. Denote $f_t(w) = f(t,w)$. and $r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}',t} = r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t)$. By (4.4) and Fubini, f_t is orthogonal to every $r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}',t}$ a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For fixed t, the $r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}',t}$ form a complete orthogonal set in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_{n,t}^*$. Thus $f_t = 0$ a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now $f = 0$ in $L^2(H_n)$. We have proved that the subspace $\mathcal{A}(H_n)$ is dense in $L^2(H_n)$. □

4B Functions on the Infinite Heisenberg Group.

Passage to the limit is easy for Heisenberg groups. The group inclusions are given by $(z,w) \mapsto (z,w)$, identity on the center $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$ and the usual $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ by $(w_1,\dots,w_n) \mapsto (w_1,\dots,w_n,0,\dots,0)$ on its complement. Also, and this is a key point, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$ sits naturally in $\mathcal{H}_{m,t}$ as the closed span of the monomials $\mu_{\mathbf{m}} := w^{\mathbf{m}}/\sqrt{\mathbf{m}!}$ for which the exponents $m_{n+1} = \cdots = m_m = 0$. That gives us the equivariant isometric inclusions $\zeta_{m,n} : \mathcal{H}_{n,t} \to \mathcal{H}_{m,t}$, sending $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}$ (as an element of $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$) to $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}$ (as an element of $\mathcal{H}_{m,t}$). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H_\infty = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}^\infty = \varinjlim H_n : \text{ infinite Heisenberg group,}\\ (4.7)\qquad &\mathcal{H}_t = \varinjlim \mathcal{H}_{n,t} : \text{ Hilbert space with complete orthonormal set } \{\mu_{\mathbf{m}} = w^{\mathbf{m}}/\sqrt{\mathbf{m}!}\},\\ &\pi_t = \varinjlim \pi_{n,t} : \text{ irreducible unitary representation of } H_\infty \text{ on } \mathcal{H}_t. \end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ of matrix coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$ is spanned by the functions $f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t} : g \mapsto \langle \mu_\ell, \pi_{n,t}(g)\mu_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle$, These coefficients belong to the Hilbert space

$$L^2(H_n/\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}; e^t) := \{f : H_n \to \mathbb{C} \mid |f| \in L^2(H_n/\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } f(z,w) = e^{-tz}f(0,w)\}$$

with inner product $\langle f, f'\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z,w)\overline{f'(z,w)}dw$.

Since $\pi_{n,t}$ has formal degree $|t|^n$ the orthogonality relations say that the inner product in $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ is $\langle f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}, f_{\ell',\mathbf{m}';n,t}\rangle = |t|^{-n}$ if $\ell = \ell'$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}'$, 0 otherwise. Now the $|t|^{n/2}f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}$ form a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$, and $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ consists of the functions $\Phi_{n,t,\varphi}$ given by

$$\Phi_{n,t,\varphi}(h) = \sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} \varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|t|^{n/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}(h) \tag{4.8}$$

where $\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2 < \infty$. Thus $L^2(H_n)$ consists of all functions

$$\Psi_{n,\varphi}(h) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,t,\varphi}(h)|t|^n\,dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\textstyle\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} \varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|t|^{n/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}(h)\Big)\,|t|^n\,dt \tag{4.9}$$

such that the $\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ are measurable, $\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2 < \infty$ a.e. t, and $\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, |t|^n dt)$. Note that $||\Psi_{n,\varphi}||^2_{L^2(H_n)} = \sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} ||\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R},|t|^{n/2}dt)}$.

When $m \geqq n$ we view an n–tuple $\mathbf{m}$ as an m–tuple by appending $m-n$ zeroes; then $w^{\mathbf{m}}$ has the same meaning as function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and on $\mathbb{C}^m$. Thus the coefficient function $f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t} : H_n \to \mathbb{C}$ is the restriction of $f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t} : H_m \to \mathbb{C}$. Now, as in (2.11) and (3.9), we have isometric $(H_n \times H_n)$–equivariant injections

$$(4.10) \quad \zeta_{m,n,t} : \mathcal{E}_{n,t} \to \mathcal{E}_{m,t} \text{ by } \zeta_{m,n,t}(|t|^{n/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}) = |t|^{m/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t} \text{ and } \zeta_{m,n}(\Psi_{n,\varphi}) = \Psi_{m,|t|^{(n-m)/2}\varphi}$$

of $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ into $\mathcal{E}_{m,t}$ and $L^2(H_n)$ into $L^2(H_m)$. Specifically, $\zeta_{m,n,t}$ maps a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ to an orthonormal set in $\mathcal{E}_{m,t}$ and $\zeta_{m,n}$ passes to the direct integral. As expected, the result is the multiplicity–free left/right regular representation of $H_\infty \times H_\infty$ on

$$(4.11) \qquad L^2(H_\infty) := \varinjlim \{L^2(H_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}.$$

Now look back to Definition 4.5, and define

$$(4.12) \quad \mathcal{A}(H_\infty) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(H_n) = \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}(t) = e^{-|t|} p(t) w^{\mathbf{m}} \overline{w^{\mathbf{m}'}}\}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}(H_\infty)$ consists of the finite linear combinations of the $e^{-|t|} t^k \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}$, and (for n sufficiently large so that $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}, \mu_{\mathbf{m}'} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,t}$) we have $||e^{-|t|} t^k \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}||^2_{L^2(H_n)} = (k+n)!/2^{k+n}$. Consider the maps of (2.21):

$$\eta_{n,t} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t}) \to \mathcal{H}_{n,t} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{n,t} \text{ given by } \eta_{n,t}(e^{-|t|} p(t) \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}) = e^{((\frac{n}{2}-1)|t|)} p(t) \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}}.$$

Then Proposition 2.22 tells us that

Proposition 4.13 *The maps $\eta_{m,t}$ satisfy $\eta_{m,t} \circ \zeta_{m,n,t} = \zeta_{m,n,t} \circ \eta_{n,t}$ on $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t})$ and send the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(H_n)\}$ into the direct system $\{L^2(H_n)\}$. That direct system map defines an $(H_\infty \times H_\infty)$–equivariant injection $\eta : \mathcal{A}(H_\infty) \to L^2(H_\infty)$ with dense image. In particular η defines a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(H_\infty)$ with completion isometric to $L^2(H_\infty)$.*

4C Heisenberg Nilmanifolds.

We first carry the results of Section 2E and 4B over to commutative spaces G_n/K_n where G_n is the semidirect product $H_n \rtimes K_n$ of a Heisenberg group with a compact group of automorphisms. The concrete results in this section will require that K_n be connected and that its action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ be irreducible.

The classification goes as follows for the cases where K_n is connected and is irreducible on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Carcano's Theorem ([Ca]; or see [BJR, Theorem 4.6] or [W3, Theorem 13.2.2]) says that (G_n, K_n) is a Gelfand pair if and only if the representation of $(K_n)_{\mathbb{C}}$, on polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^n$, is multiplicity free. Those groups were classified by Kač [K, Theorem 3] in another context. His list (as formulated in [W3, (13.2.5)]) is

(4.14)

Irreducible connected groups $K_n \subset U(n)$ multiplicity free on polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^n$

	Group K_n	Group $(K_n)_{\mathbb{C}}$	Acting on	Conditions on n
1	$SU(n)$	$SL(n;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geqq 2$
2	$U(n)$	$GL(n;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geqq 1$
3	$Sp(m)$	$Sp(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 2m$
4	$U(1) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times Sp(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 2m$
5	$U(1) \times SO(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times SO(n;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geqq 2$
6	$U(m)$	$GL(m;\mathbb{C})$	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$	$m \geqq 2,\ n = \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$
7	$SU(m)$	$SL(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$	m odd, $n = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$
8	$U(m)$	$GL(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$	$n = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$
9	$SU(\ell) \times SU(m)$	$SL(\ell;\mathbb{C}) \times SL(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$	$n = \ell m,\ \ell \neq m$
10	$U(\ell) \times SU(m)$	$GL(\ell;\mathbb{C}) \times SL(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$	$n = \ell m$
11	$U(2) \times Sp(m)$	$GL(2;\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 4m$
12	$SU(3) \times Sp(m)$	$SL(3;\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 6m$
13	$U(3) \times Sp(m)$	$GL(3;\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(m;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 6m$
14	$U(4) \times Sp(4)$	$GL(4;\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(4;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^8$	$n = 32$
15	$SU(m) \times Sp(4)$	$SL(m;\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(4;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^8$	$n = 8m,\ m \geqq 3$
16	$U(m) \times Sp(4)$	$GL(m;\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(4;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^8$	$n = 8m,\ m \geqq 3$
17	$U(1) \times Spin(7)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times Spin(7;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^8$	$n = 8$
18	$U(1) \times Spin(9)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times Spin(9;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^{16}$	$n = 16$
19	$Spin(10)$	$Spin(10;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^{16}$	$n = 16$
20	$U(1) \times Spin(10)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times Spin(10;\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^{16}$	$n = 16$
21	$U(1) \times G_2$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times G_{2,\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathbb{C}^7$	$n = 7$
22	$U(1) \times E_6$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times E_{6,\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathbb{C}^{27}$	$n = 27$

Now we have the direct systems

(4.15)

Direct systems $\{(H_n \rtimes K_n, K_n)\}$ of Gelfand pairs,
K_n connected and irreducible on $\mathbb{C}^n$

	Group K_n	Acting on	Conditions on n
1	$SU(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geqq 2$
2	$U(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geqq 1$
3	$Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 2m$
4	$U(1) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 2m$
5a	$U(1) \times SO(2m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 2m \geqq 2$
5b	$U(1) \times SO(2m+1)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2m+1}$	$n = 2m+1 \geqq 3$
6	$U(m)$	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$	$m \geqq 2,\ n = \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$
7	$SU(m)$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$	m odd, $n = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$
8	$U(m)$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$	$n = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$
9	$SU(\ell) \times SU(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$	$n = \ell m,\ \ell \neq m$
10	$S(U(\ell) \times U(m))$	$\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$	$n = \ell m$
11	$U(2) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 4m$
12	$SU(3) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 6m$
13	$U(3) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$n = 6m$
15	$SU(m) \times Sp(4)$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^8$	$n = 8m,\ m \geqq 3$
16	$U(m) \times Sp(4)$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^8$	$n = 8m,\ m \geqq 3$

In each case the direct system $\{K_n\}$ is both strict and parabolic. (We separated entry 5 of Table 4.14 into entries 5a and 5b of Table 4.15 in order to have the parabolic property.)

We now suppose that $\{K_n\}$ is one of the strict parabolic direct system given by the rows of Table 4.15.

As $U(n)$ acts on $H_n = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}^n$ by $k : (z, v) \mapsto (z, kv)$ it preserves the equivalence class of each of the square integrable representations $\pi_{n,t}$ of H_n. The Mackey obstruction vanishes and $\pi_{n,t}$ extends to a unitary representation $\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}$ of $H_n \rtimes U(n)$ on $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$. See [W1, Section 4] for a geometric proof. We will also write $\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}$ for its restriction, the extension of $\pi_{n,t}$ to a unitary representation of $G_n = H_n \rtimes K_n$.

Let $\kappa_{n,\lambda}$ denote the irreducible representation of K_n of highest weight λ, and $\widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}}$ its extension to $G_n = H_n \rtimes K_n$ which annihilates H_n. The corresponding representation space $\mathcal{F}_{n,\lambda}$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Denote $\pi_{n,t,\lambda} = \widetilde{\pi_{n,t}} \otimes \widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} := \mathcal{H}_{n,t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,\lambda}$ is its representation space. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{u_i\}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{n,\lambda}$. Then $\{\mu_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes u_i\}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$, and we have matrix coefficients

$$f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda}(h,k) = \langle(\mu_\ell \otimes u_i), ((\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}} \otimes \widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}})(h,k))(\mu_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes u_j)\rangle.$$

The formal degree $\deg \pi_{n,t,\lambda} = |t|^n \deg(\kappa_{n,\lambda})$, so the $|t|^{n/2} \deg(\kappa_{n,\lambda})^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda}$ form a complete orthonormal set in the space $\mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} = \mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{n,t,\lambda}$ of matrix coefficient functions. As in (2.11), (3.9) and (4.10) we have isometric $(G_n \times G_n)$–equivariant injections

$$(4.16) \quad \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} \to \mathcal{E}_{m,t,\lambda} \text{ by } \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda}((|t|^n \deg \kappa_{n,\lambda})^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda}) = (|t|^m \deg \kappa_{m,\lambda})^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;m,t,\lambda}$$

Integrate with respect to t and sum on λ to construct isometric $(G_n \times G_n)$–equivariant injections $\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \to L^2(G_m)$.

Theorem 4.17 *For* $n > 0$ *let* K_n *be a compact connected subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}(H_n)$ *such that* $\{K_n\}$ *is a strict parabolic direct system. Define* $G_n = H_n \rtimes K_n$, $G = \varinjlim\{G_n\}$ *and* $K = \varinjlim\{K_n\}$. *Note* $G = H_\infty \rtimes K$. *Then* $\{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}$ *is a strict direct system of Hilbert spaces in which the maps* $\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \to L^2(G_m)$ *are* $(G_n \times G_n)$*–equivariant unitary injections. That gives us the left/right regular representation of* $G \times G$ *on the Hilbert space* $L^2(G) := \varinjlim\{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}$. *Further, that left/right regular representation is the multiplicity–free* $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\pi_{t,\lambda} \boxtimes \pi^*_{t,\lambda})\, dt$ *where* $\pi_{t,\lambda} := \varinjlim \pi_{n,t,\lambda}$.

Now the construction of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ follows the lines of (4.12), with properties relative to $L^2(G)$ as in Proposition 4.13. As in Definition 4.5 we define

$$(4.18) \quad \mathcal{A}(G) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n) \text{ where } \mathcal{A}(G_n) = \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } e^{-|t|} p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda}\}$$

where $p(t)$ is a real polynomial in t. The argument of Lemma 4.6 shows that $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(G_n)$. The maps of (2.21) in this setting are

$$\eta_{n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda}) \to \mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{n,t,\lambda} \text{ by } \eta_{n,t,\lambda}(e^{-|t|} p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda}) = e^{(\frac{n}{2}-1)|t|} \sqrt{\deg \kappa_{n,\lambda}}\; p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda}.$$

Then Proposition 2.22 tells us that

Proposition 4.19 *The maps* $\eta_{m,t,\lambda}$ *satisfy* $\eta_{m,t,\lambda}\circ\zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} = \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda}\circ\eta_{n,t,\lambda}$ *on* $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})$ *and send the direct system* $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\}$ *into the direct system* $\{L^2(G_n)\}$*. That system map defines an* $((H_\infty \rtimes K)\times(H_\infty \rtimes K))$*–equivariant injection* $\eta : \mathcal{A}(H_\infty \rtimes K) \to L^2(H_\infty \rtimes K)$ *with dense image. In particular* η *defines a pre Hilbert space structure on* $\mathcal{A}(H_\infty \rtimes K)$ *with completion isometric to* $L^2(H_\infty \rtimes K)$*.*

Recall our working hypothesis that $\{K_n\}$ is one of the 16 systems of Table 4.15. Since (G_n, K_n) is a Gelfand pair with K_n irreducible on $\mathbb{C}^n$, Carcano's Theorem [Ca] says that the action of K_n on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[C^n]$ is multiplicity free, and it picks out the right K_n–invariants in $L^2(G_n)$, as follows.

Lemma 4.20 *Recall the notation of* Section 3A *for* Ξ_n*,* λ *and* $\kappa_{n,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_n}$*. Define* $\widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}}\in\widehat{G_n}$ *by* $\widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}}(hk) = \kappa_{n,\lambda}(k)$ *for* $h\in H_n$ *and* $k\in K_n$*. Then* $\pi_{n,t,\lambda} := \widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}\otimes\widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}}$ *has a nonzero* K_n*–fixed vector if and only if* $\kappa^*_{n,\lambda}$ *occurs as a subrepresentation of* $\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}|_{K_n}$*, and in that case the space of* K_n*–fixed vectors has dimension* 1*.*

Proof. This is essentially the argument in [W1, Section 14.5A]. Decompose $\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}|_{K_n} = \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{K_n}} m_\gamma\,\gamma$. Carcano's Theorem ([Ca], or see [W3, Theorem 13.2.2]) says that each m_γ is either 0 or 1. The K_n–fixed vectors of $\widetilde{\kappa}\otimes\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}$ all occur in $\kappa\otimes(m_{\kappa^*}\kappa^*)$, and they form a space of dimension m_{κ^*}. The assertion follows. ☐

We view $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ as the space of right–K_n–invariant functions in $L^2(G_n)$. With Lemma 4.20 in mind we set

$$\widehat{K_n}^{\dagger} = \{\kappa_{n,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_n} \mid \kappa^*_{n,\lambda} \text{ occurs in the space of polynomials on } \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{F}_{n,\lambda}$ denotes the representation space of $\kappa_{n,\lambda}$. Given $\kappa_{n,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_n}^{\dagger}$ the right K_n–invariant in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]\otimes\mathcal{F}^*_{n,\lambda}$ is $\int_{K_n}\sum_i(b_i\otimes\kappa^*_{n,\lambda}(b^*_i))dk$ where $\{b_i\}$ is a basis of the $\kappa_{n,\lambda}$–subspace of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]$ and $\{b^*_i\}$ is the dual basis of $\mathcal{F}^*_{n,\lambda}$. Normalize it to a unit vector $w_{n,t,\lambda}$. Then the (left regular) representation of G_n on $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ is equivalent to the representation $\sum_{\kappa_{n,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_n}^{\dagger}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}\otimes\widetilde{\kappa_{n,\lambda}}\,dt$ of G_n on $\sum_{\kappa_{n,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_n}^{\dagger}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}\otimes w_{n,t,\lambda}\mathbb{C})\,dt$.

Proposition 4.21 *If* $m \geqq n$ *and* $\kappa_{n,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_n}^{\dagger}$ *then* $\kappa_{m,\lambda}\in\widehat{K_m}^{\dagger}$*. In that case inclusion* $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^m]$ *of polynomials maps the highest weight* λ *space for* $\kappa_{n,\lambda}$ *onto the the highest weight* λ *space for* $\kappa_{m,\lambda}$*.*

Proof. The group K_n acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by some representation γ_n, so the representation of K_n on polynomials of degree d is the symmetric power $S^d(\gamma^*_n)$. Thus we can compute the set $X_{n,d}$ of highest weights of K_n on the space $P_{n,d}$ of polynomials of degree d on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Running through the 16 cases of Table 4.15 we see that $X_{n,d}\subset X_{m,d}$. For example (Line 3 of Table 4.15) the representation of $Sp(m)$ on polynomials of degree q in C^{2m} is the irreducible representation with highest weight $q\xi_{m,1}$, and (Lines 5a and 5b of Table 4.15) the representation of $U(1)\times SO(n)$ on polynomials of degree q in C^n is the tensor product of the $-q^{th}$ power of the usual representation of $U(1)$ by scalars on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the multiplicity–free sum of irreducible representations of $SO(n)$ of highest weights $\{\xi_{n,1}, 3\xi_{n,1}, 5\xi_{n,1}, ..., q\xi_{n,1}\}$ if q is odd, $\{0\xi_{n,1}, 2\xi_{n,1}, 4\xi_{n,1}, ..., q\xi_{n,1}\}$ if q is even.

Now let $\lambda \in X_{n,d}$. Let $v_{n,\lambda}$ denote a (nonzero) highest weight λ vector for $\mathfrak{k}_n$ in $P_{n,d}$, and similarly let $v_{m,\lambda}$ denote a (nonzero) highest weight λ vector for $\mathfrak{k}_m$. Divide up the variables of $\mathbb{C}^m$ to $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ for $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\{z_{n+1}, \ldots, z_m\}$ for its complement in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Express $v_{m,\lambda} = \sum_{A,B} b_{A,B} w^A z^B$ where each term has total degree $|A|+|B| = d$. Note that K_n treats the z_i as constants. Evaluating the z_i at arbitrary constant values $C = (c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_m)$ we have a highest weight λ vector for $\mathfrak{k}_n$. By Carcano's Theorem it is a multiple of $v_{n,\lambda}$. In other words $v_{m,\lambda}|_{\{z=C\}} = m_C v_{n,\lambda}$. The terms $b_{A,B}\mathbf{w}^A\mathbf{z}^B$ with $\mathbf{z}$–degree $|B| > 0$ yield evaluations of $\mathbf{w}$–degree $|A| < d$, and cannot contribute to any $m_C v_{n,\lambda}$. Now $b_{A,B}\mathbf{w}^A\mathbf{z}^B = 0$ whenever $|B| > 0$. This shows that $v_{m,\lambda}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in the w_j, as is $v_{n,\lambda}$. We conclude that $v_{m,\lambda}$ is a nonzero multiple of $v_{n,\lambda}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.22 *Condition* (2.24) *is satisfied for the direct systems* $\{K_n\}$ *of* Table 4.15.

Proof. Retain the notation $X_{n,d}$ for those λ such that $\kappa_{n,\lambda}$ occurs on the space $P_{n,d}$ of polynomials of degree d on $\mathbb{C}^n$. If $\lambda \notin X_{n,d}$ there are no nonzero K_n–invariant vectors in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$, so the assertion is vacuous. Now assume $\lambda \in X_{n,d}$ and choose an orthonormal basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{q(n)}\}$ of the representation space for $\kappa_{n,\lambda}$ in $P_{n,d}$. According to Proposition 4.21 that representation space is contained in the representation space for $\kappa_{m,\lambda}$ in $P_{m,d}$, so the latter has an orthonormal basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{q(n)}, x_{q(n)+1}, \ldots, x_{q(m)}\}$. Let $\{x_1^*, \ldots, x_{q(n)}^*, x_{q(n)+1}^*, \ldots, x_{q(m)}^*\}$ and $\{x_1^*, \ldots, x_{q(n)}^*\}$ be the corresponding dual bases of $\mathcal{F}_{m,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n,\lambda}$. The K_n–invariant vectors in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$ are the multiples of $\sum_1^{q(n)} x_i \otimes x_i^*$, and the K_m–invariant vectors in $\mathcal{H}_{m,t,\lambda}$ are the multiples of $\sum_1^{q(m)} x_i \otimes x_i^*$. The adjoint of unitary inclusion is orthogonal projection, which sends $\sum_1^{q(m)} x_i \otimes x_i^*$ to $\sum_1^{q(n)} x_i \otimes x_i^*$. $\square$

Let $w_{n,\lambda}$ be a right–K_n–fixed unit vector in the highest weight λ subspace of $L^2(H_n \rtimes K_n)$, for each $\kappa_{n,\lambda} \in \widehat{K_n}^\dagger$. Note that $w_{n,\lambda}$ does not depend on t. Proposition 4.21 says that the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m,t,\lambda}$ maps $w_{n,\lambda}$ to a nonzero multiple of $w_{m,\lambda}$. Given λ we recursively choose the $w_{n,\lambda}$ so that

$$w_{m,\lambda} = c_{m,n,t,\lambda} w_{n,\lambda} + x \text{ with } x \perp \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} \text{ with } 0 < c_{n,t,\lambda} \leqq 1. \tag{4.23}$$

Note $\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda^*}^{K_n} = w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{m,\lambda^*}^{K_n} = w_{m,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}$. Now we have $(H_n \rtimes K_n)$–equivariant injections

$$\alpha_{m,n,t,\lambda^*} : \mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda^*}^{K_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{m,t,\lambda^*}^{K_m}, \qquad \alpha_{m,n,t,\lambda^*}(w_{n,\lambda^*}) = c_{m,n,t,\lambda} w_{m,\lambda^*}, \tag{4.24}$$

as in (2.25), and

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes (w_{n,t,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{H}_{m,t,\lambda} \otimes (w_{m,t,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}) \text{ defined by } f \mapsto c_{m,n,t,\lambda}\zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda}(f) \tag{4.25}$$

as in (2.29) and (2.31). Now as in Section 2E, $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes (w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}),\ \ \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,t,\lambda}\right\}$ is isomorphic to a subsystem of the system $\left\{\left(\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}^*\right), \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda}\right\}$ of (3.11). As a result we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{t,\lambda} \otimes w_{\lambda^*}\mathbb{C} := \varinjlim \left\{\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes w_{n,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C},\ \ \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,t,\lambda}\right\} \tag{4.26}$$

and they fit together under the direct integral (2.23) to give us $L^2((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n)$ as follows.

$$L^2((H_\infty \rtimes K)/K) := L^2(H_\infty \rtimes K)^K = \varinjlim \left\{ L^2((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n} \right\}. \tag{4.27}$$

Combining Theorem 4.17, Lemma 4.20 and Corollary 4.22 we have

Theorem 4.28 *Let $\{G_n, K_n)\}$ be one of the direct systems of* Table 4.15*. Define $G_n = H_n \rtimes K_n$, $G = \varinjlim G_n$ and $K = \varinjlim K_n$. Then (4.27) is a unitary direct system whose limit Hilbert space is G–isometric to $L^2(G)^K$, and the natural unitary representation of G on $L^2(G/K) = L^2(G)^K$ is multiplicity free.*

Now we turn to regular functions. As in (4.12) we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}(H_n \rtimes K_n) := \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } e^{-|t|} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda} \text{ in } \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda}\},\\
&\mathcal{A}((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n) := \mathcal{A}(H_n \rtimes K_n)^{K_n} = \mathcal{A}(H_n \rtimes K_n) \cap \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda}^{K_n},\\
&\nu_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\pi_{m,t,\lambda})^{K_m} \text{ by } f_{u,v_n,n} \mapsto f_{u,v_m,m} \text{ where } p_{m,n,t,\lambda}(v_m) = v_n
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Now we have direct systems and their limits

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}(H_\infty \rtimes K) = \varinjlim \{\mathcal{A}(H_n \rtimes K_n), \zeta_{m,n}\} \text{ where } \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m,t,\lambda} \text{ (4.16), and}\\
&\mathcal{A}((H_\infty \rtimes K)/K) = \varinjlim \{\mathcal{A}((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\} \text{ where } \nu_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda}^{K_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m,t,\lambda}^{K_m} \text{ (4.29).}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

As before, each $\mathcal{A}((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n)$ is a dense subspace of $L^2((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n)$. In order to pass this comparison to the limit we use the maps

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,t,\lambda}} \otimes (w_{n,t,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}) \text{ by } f \mapsto c_{n,1,\lambda}\, |t|^{n/2} \sqrt{\deg \kappa_{n,\lambda}}\, f. \tag{4.31}$$

Proposition 4.32 *The $\widetilde{\eta}_{n,t,\lambda}$ satisfy $(\widetilde{\eta}_{m,t,\lambda} \circ \nu_{m,n,t,\lambda})(f) = (\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda} \circ \widetilde{\eta}_{n,t,\lambda})(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_n}$ Thus they inject the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}$ of regular functions into the direct system $\{L^2((H_n \rtimes K_n)/K_n), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\}$ of square integrable functions. That map of direct systems defines an $(H_\infty \rtimes K)$–equivariant injection*

$$\widetilde{\eta} : \mathcal{A}((H_\infty \rtimes K)/K) \to L^2((H_\infty \rtimes K)/K)$$

with dense image. In particular $\widetilde{\eta}$ defines a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}((H_\infty \rtimes K)/K)$ with completion isometric to $L^2((H_\infty \rtimes K)/K)$.

5 Extension to Commutative Nilmanifolds.

The results of Section 4 depend on four basic facts. First, the $\pi_{n,t}$ are determined by their central character. Second, we have good models $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$ for the representation spaces, such that n does not appear explicitly in the formulae for the actions of the group elements. Third, the injections $H_n \hookrightarrow H_m$ restrict to isomorphisms $Z_n \cong Z_m$ of the centers. And fourth, we have complete information on the Plancherel measure for the H_n. In this section we consider a somewhat larger class of nilpotent direct systems that satisfy these conditions. In this section we extend our Heisenberg group considerations to nilpotent Lie groups with square integrable representations, following the general lines of [W3] and [W5].

5A Square Integrable Nilpotent Groups.

Here is a quick summary of harmonic analysis for connected simply connected groups that admit square integrable representations. See [MW] for details, [W3, Section 14.2] for an exposition. Let N be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group and $\mathfrak{n}$ its Lie algebra. Decompose $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{v}$ and $N = Z\exp(\mathfrak{v})$ where $\mathfrak{z}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{n}$. Then $Z = \exp(\mathfrak{z})$ is the center of N. We say that an irreducible unitary representation π of N is *square integrable* if its coefficient functions $f_{u,v}(g) = \langle u, \pi(g)v\rangle$ satisfy $|f_{u,v}| \in L^2(N/Z)$. In that case π is determined by its central character, $\pi = \pi_t$ where $t \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ and the central character is $\exp(\zeta) \mapsto e^{it(\zeta)}$. In terms of geometric quantization, π_t corresponds to the coadjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{n}^*$ consisting of all linear functionals on $\mathfrak{n}$ whose restriction to $\mathfrak{z}$ is t. Further, the antisymmetric bilinear form $b_t(\xi, \eta) = t([\xi, \eta])$ on $\mathfrak{v}$ is nondegenerate, and (up to a positive constant that depends only on normalizations of Haar measures) the formal degree of π_t is $|\mathrm{Pf}(b_t)|$, where $\mathrm{Pf}(b_t)$ is the Pfaffian[1] of $b_t : \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{v} \to \mathbb{R}$. In fact, if π_s is the representation of N that corresponds to $\mathrm{Ad}^*(N)s \subset \mathfrak{n}^*$, then π_s is square integrable if and only if $\mathrm{Pf}(b_s) \neq 0$. In any case,

$$\text{(5.1)} \qquad \mathrm{Pf}(b_t) \text{ is a polynomial function of } t,\ \mathrm{Pf}(b_t) = P(t), \text{ and } P(0) = 0.$$

Again, up to a constant that depends on normalizations, $|\mathrm{Pf}(b_t)|$ is the Plancherel density. It follows that if one irreducible unitary representation of N is square integrable then Plancherel–almost–all are. In the case of the Heisenberg group H_n, where we identified $\mathfrak{z}^*$ with $\mathbb{R}$, the Pfaffian corresponding to $\pi_{n,t}$ is t^n.

When we are dealing with a sequence $\{N_n\}$ if square integrable nilpotent groups, we have to keep track of the polynomials (5.1), so we will write

$$\text{(5.2)} \qquad P(n,t) = \mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t}) : \text{ corresponding to the group } N_n\,.$$

The point of this, from the viewpoint of commutative spaces, is that many Gelfand pairs are of the form $(N \rtimes K, K)$ where N is a connected simply connected Lie group, K is a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$, and N has square integrable representations. See [W3, Theorem 14.4.3]. This is simplified by the 2–step Nilpotent Theorem [W3, Theorem 13.1.1] of Benson-Jenkins-Ratcliff and Vinberg, which says that N must be abelian or 2–step nilpotent. In a certain sense representations treat those groups as Heisenberg groups:

Lemma 5.3 ([W3, Lemma 14.4.1]) *Let N be a connected simply connected 2–step nilpotent Lie group with 1–dimensional center. Then N is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group H_n where $n = \frac{1}{2}(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{n} - 1)$, and in particular N has square integrable representations.*

Proposition 5.4 ([W3, Proposition 14.4.2]) *Let N be a connected simply connected 2–step nilpotent Lie group. Let $f \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ such that $f|_{\mathfrak{z}} \neq 0$. Denote $\mathfrak{w}_f = \{z \in \mathfrak{z} \mid f(z) = 0\}$ and $W_f := \exp(\mathfrak{w}_f)$. Then*

1. *W_f is a closed subgroup of Z, hence a closed normal subgroup of N.*

---

[1] Strictly speaking, $\mathrm{Pf}(b_t)$ depends on a choice of basis of $\mathfrak{v}$, for a basis change of determinant a_t multiplies $\det b_t|_{\mathfrak{v}\times\mathfrak{v}}$ by $\det a_t^2$ and multiplies $\mathrm{Pf}(b_t)$ by $\det a_t$.

2. *The functional* f *is the pullback of a linear functional* $f' \in (\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{w}_f)^*$ *and is nonzero on the central subalgebra* $\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{w}_f$ *of* $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{w}_f$.

3. *The representation* $[\pi_f]$ *is the pullback to* N *of the class* $[\pi_{f'}] \in \widehat{N/W_f}$.

4. *If the representation* $[\pi_f]$ *is square integrable then* $[\pi_{f'}]$ *is square integrable, and in that case* N/W_f *has center* Z/W_f *and is isomorphic to a Heisenberg group* H_n *where* $n = \frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{z})$.

We now consider a strict direct system $\{N_n\}$ of 2–step nilpotent connected, simply connected Lie groups that have square integrable representations, where the inclusions $\mathfrak{n}_n \to \mathfrak{n}_m$ map the center $\mathfrak{z}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{z}_m$ and the complement $\mathfrak{v}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{v}_m$ in decompositions $\mathfrak{n}_n = \mathfrak{z}_n + \mathfrak{v}_n$. Then the direct limit algebra $\mathfrak{n} := \varinjlim \mathfrak{n}_n$ has center $\mathfrak{z} := \varinjlim \mathfrak{z}_n$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{v}$ where $\mathfrak{v} = \varinjlim \mathfrak{v}_n$. On the group level, $Z = \varinjlim Z_n = \exp(\mathfrak{z})$ is the center of $N := \varinjlim N_n$ and we have $N = Z\exp(\mathfrak{v})$.

We further assume that the dimensions $\dim Z_n$ of the centers are bounded. Since they are non–decreasing we may assume that they are eventually constant. Passing to a cofinal sequence,

$$\mathfrak{n}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{n}_m \text{ maps } \mathfrak{z}_n \cong \mathfrak{z}_m. \tag{5.5}$$

Under that identification we write $\mathfrak{z}$ for all the $\mathfrak{z}_n$, $\mathfrak{z}^*$ for all the $\mathfrak{z}_n^*$, and Z for all the Z_n.

Let $t \in \mathfrak{z}^*$. Write $b_{n,t}$ for the bilinear form $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto t([\xi, \eta])$ on $\mathfrak{v}_n$. Then t corresponds to a square integrable representation $\pi_{n,t}$ of N_n just when the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t}) \neq 0$. For purposes of comparing the Pfaffians as n varies, we note that $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})$ is specified by t and a basis of $\mathfrak{v}_n$, so we simply assume that these bases are nested in the sense that the basis of $\mathfrak{v}_{n+1}$ consists the basis of $\mathfrak{v}_n$ together with some elements that are $b_{n+1,t}$–orthogonal to $\mathfrak{v}_n$. Thus, if $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n+1,t}) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t}) \neq 0$. The converse fails in general, but the following lemma deals with the possibility that $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t}) \neq 0 = \mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})$. It depends on the fact [MW] that each $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})$ is a polynomial function on $\mathfrak{z}^*$.

Lemma 5.6 *Let* $\mathfrak{a}_n \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ *denote the zero set of* $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})$ *and set* $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcup \mathfrak{a}_n$. *Then* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is a set of Lebesgue measure zero in* $\mathfrak{z}^*$.

Proof. Since N_n has square integrable representations, the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})$ is a nontrivial polynomial function of $t \in \mathfrak{z}^*$, so $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a finite union of lower–dimensional subvarieties of $\mathfrak{z}_n^*$. Now the set $\mathfrak{a}$ is a countable union of sets $\mathfrak{a}_n$ of Lebesgue measure zero. ☐

Define $T = \{t \in \mathfrak{z}^* \mid \text{ each } \mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t}) \neq 0\}$. So $\mathfrak{z}^* \setminus \mathfrak{a}$. For every $t \in T$ and every index n we have a square integrable representation $\pi_{n,t} \in \widehat{N_n}$. Let $t \in T$, $\mathfrak{w}_t = \{z \in \mathfrak{z} \mid t(z) = 0\}$ and $W_t = \exp(\mathfrak{w}_t)$. Then W_t is closed in Z, N_n/W_t is isomorphic to a Heisenberg group $H_{d(n)}$, and $\pi_{n,t}$ factors through to the square integrable representation of N_n/W_t with central character e^{it}. As t varies in T the $\pi_{n,t}$ act on the same Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{d(n),t}$, $d(n) = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{v}_n$, by formulae independent of $d(n)$.

We normalize the inner products on the $\mathcal{H}_{d(n),t}$ as before, so the $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}$ form a complete orthonormal set, and realize the space $\mathcal{E}_{n,t} = \mathcal{H}_{d(n),t}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^*_{d(n),t}$ of matrix coefficients as the closed span of the functions $f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t} : g \mapsto \langle \mu_\ell, \pi_{n,t}(g)\mu_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle$, as in Section 4. The orthogonality relations say that the inner product on $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ is given by $\langle f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}, f_{\ell',\mathbf{m}';n,t}\rangle = |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{-1}$ if $\ell = \ell'$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}'$, and is 0 otherwise. Now the $|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}$ form a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$, and as before $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ consists of the functions $\Phi_{n,t,\varphi}$ on $H_{d(n)}$ given by

$$\Phi_{n,t,\varphi}(h) = \sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} \varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}(h) \text{ with } \sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2 < \infty. \tag{5.7}$$

Now $L^2(N_n) = \int_{\mathfrak{z}_n^*} \mathcal{E}_{n,t}|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt = \int_T \mathcal{E}_{n,t}|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt$. It consists of all functions $\Psi_{n,\varphi}$ defined by

$$\Psi_{n,\varphi}(h) = \int_{\mathfrak{z}_n^*} \Phi_{n,t,\varphi}(h)|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt = \int_T \left(\sum\nolimits_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} \varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}\right) |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt \tag{5.8}$$

such that the functions $\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} : \mathfrak{z}_n^* \to \mathbb{C}$ are measurable with $\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2 < \infty$ for almost all $t \in T$ and $\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2 \in L^1(\mathfrak{z}_n^*, |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt)$. The norms are

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Psi_{n,\varphi}||^2_{L^2(N_n)} &= \int_T ||\Phi_{n,t,\varphi}||^2_{\mathcal{E}_{n,t}} |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt = \int_T \left(\sum\nolimits_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} |\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|^2\right) |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt \\ &= \sum\nolimits_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} ||\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}||^2_{L^2(\mathfrak{z}^*, |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The left/right representation of $N_n \times N_n$ on $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ is the exterior tensor product $\pi_{n,t} \boxtimes \pi^*_{n,t}$; it is irreducible and the left/right regular representation of $N_n \times N_n$ on $L^2(N_n)$ is the multiplicity free unitary representation $\int_{\mathfrak{z}^*} (\pi_{n,t} \boxtimes \pi^*_{n,t})|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|dt$.

Let $m \geqq n$. Then $|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t} \mapsto |\mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};m,t}$ defines an equivariant isometric injection $\Phi_{n,\varphi}(t) \mapsto \Phi_{m,\varphi}(t)$ of $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ into $\mathcal{E}_{m,t}$. The norm computation just above gives

$$||\Psi_{m,|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})/\mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})|^{1/2}\varphi}||^2_{L^2(N_m)} = ||\Psi_{n,\varphi}||^2_{L^2(N_n)}. \tag{5.10}$$

Thus we have an $(N_n \times N_n)$–equivariant isometric injection

$$\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(N_n) \to L^2(N_m) \text{ by } \zeta_{m,n}(\Psi_{n,\varphi}) = \Psi_{m,|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})/\mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})|^{1/2}\varphi}. \tag{5.11}$$

On the level of coefficients it is given by $\zeta_{m,n}(\Phi_{n,t,\varphi}) = \Phi_{m,t,|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})/\mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})|^{1/2}\varphi}$. In other words $\zeta_{m,n}$ sends the function $\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} \varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};n,t}$ on N_n to the function on N_m given by

$$\sum_{\ell,\mathbf{m}} (|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})/\mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})|^{1/2}\varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t))(|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{m,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};m,t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{m}} \varphi_{\ell,\mathbf{m}}(t)|\mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t})|^{1/2} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m};t}.$$

The result is

Theorem 5.12 *There is a strict direct system* $\{L^2(N_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}$ *of* L^2 *spaces. The direct system maps* $\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(N_n) \to L^2(N_m)$ *are* $(N_n \times N_n)$*–equivariant unitary injections. Let* Π_n *denote the left/right regular representation of* $N_n \times N_n$ *on* $L^2(N_n)$ *and let* $N = \varinjlim N_n$*. Then we have a well defined Hilbert space* $L^2(N) := \varinjlim\{L^2(N_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}$ *and a multiplicity–free unitary representation* $\Pi = \varinjlim \Pi_n$ *of* $N \times N$ *on* $L^2(N)$*.*

5B Semidirect Product Groups.

We need some structural results from [W5, Section 7] for a strict direct system $\{K_n, \varphi_{m,n}\}$ of compact connected Lie groups and a consistent family $\{\gamma_n\}$ of representations of the K_n on a fixed finite dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{z}$. We'll use that information to extend Theorems 4.17 and 4.28 to a larger family of strict direct systems of nilmanifold Gelfand pairs.

As just indicated, $\{K_n, \varphi_{m,n}\}$ is a strict direct system of compact connected Lie groups. Denote $K = \varinjlim\{K_n, \varphi_{m,n}\}$ and let $\{\gamma_n\}$ be a consistent family of unitary representations of the K_n on a fixed finite dimensional real vector space $\mathfrak{z}$. The $U_n = \gamma_n(K_n)$ form an increasing sequence of compact connected subgroups of dimension $\leqq (\dim \mathfrak{z})^2$ in the unitary group $U(\mathfrak{z})$, so from some index on they are all the same compact connected subgroup U of $U(\mathfrak{z})$. Now we truncate the index set and assume that the γ_n all have the same image U in the orthogonal group of $\mathfrak{z}$.

Let $K_n^\dagger$ denote the identity component of the kernel of γ_n. Then $\varphi_{m,n}(K_n^\dagger) \subset K_m^\dagger$, so we have $K^\dagger = \varinjlim\{K_n^\dagger, \varphi_{m,n}|_{K_n^\dagger}\}$, and $K^\dagger$ is the identity component of the kernel of γ. Since K_n is compact and connected, and $K_n^\dagger$ is a closed connected normal subgroup, K_n has another closed connected normal subgroup L_n such that K_n is locally isomorphic to the direct product $K_n^\dagger \times L_n$.

Proposition 5.13 *One can choose the groups L_n so that $\varphi_{m,n}(L_n) = L_m$ for $m \geqq n \gg 0$.*

Let $L = \varinjlim\{L_n, \varphi_{m,n}|_{L_n}\}$. We further truncate the index set so that $L_n = \varphi_n^{-1}(L)$ for all indices n.

Corollary 5.14 *Let $t \in \mathfrak{z}$, and let $K_{n,t}$ be its stabilizer in K_n. If one of the direct systems $\{K_n, \varphi_{m,n}\}$, $\{K_{n,t}, \varphi_{m,n}|_{K_{n,t}}\}$, or $\{K_n^\dagger, \varphi_{m,n}|_{K_n^\dagger}\}$ is parabolic, then the other two also are parabolic.*

Corollary 5.15 *Let $t \in \mathfrak{z}$, and let $K_{n,t}$ be its stabilizer in K_n. Suppose that the direct system $\{K_n, \varphi_{m,n}\}$ is parabolic. Then there are natural isometric injections $\mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m,t,\lambda}$ for $m \geqq n$, from the highest weight λ representation space of $K_{n,t}$ to that of $K_{m,t}$, and corresponding L^2–isometric injections $f \mapsto \left((\deg \kappa_{m,t,\lambda})/(\deg \kappa_{n,t,\lambda})\right)^{1/2} f$ on spaces of coefficient functions.*

Corollary 5.16 *Let $t \in \mathfrak{z}$, and let $K_{n,t}$ be its stabilizer in K_n. Then $L := \varinjlim L_n$ is compact, $K = K^\dagger L$ where $K^\dagger := \varinjlim K_n^\dagger$, and K is locally isomorphic to $K^\dagger \times L$. In particular K acts on $\mathfrak{z}$ as a compact linear group and $\mathfrak{z}$ has a $\gamma(K)$–invariant positive definite inner product.*

Now we can proceed along the lines of [W5, Section 8]. Fix a strict direct system $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ of Gelfand pairs that satisfies

(5.17)
(i) $G_n = N_n \rtimes K_n$, semidirect product, where N_n is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group with square integrable representations and K_n is connected,
(ii) the K_n form a parabolic strict direct system,
(iii) the inclusions $\mathfrak{n}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$ map centers $\mathfrak{z}_n \cong \mathfrak{z}_{n+1}$ and map complements $\mathfrak{v}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{v}_{n+1}$,
(iv) for each n the complement $\mathfrak{v}_n$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K_n)$–invariant.

Using (5.17(iii)) we identify each $\mathfrak{z}_n$ with $\mathfrak{z} := \varinjlim \mathfrak{z}_n$. Write $K_n^\dagger$ for the identity component of the kernel of the action of K_n on $\mathfrak{z}$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}(K_n)|_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is a compact connected connected group of linear transformations of $\mathfrak{z}$, its dimension is bounded, so the $\mathrm{Ad}(K_n)|_{\mathfrak{z}}$ stabilize and we may assume that each $\mathrm{Ad}(K_n)|_{\mathfrak{z}} = U$ for some compact connected group U of linear transformations of $\mathfrak{z}$. Proposition 5.13 gives us complementary closed connected normal subgroups $L_n \subset K_n$ that inject isomorphically under $K_n \hookrightarrow K_{n+1}$, so each L_n is equal to $L := \varinjlim L_n$. Thus we have decompositions $K_n = K_n^\dagger \cdot L$ and $K = K^\dagger \cdot L$ where $K_n^\dagger$ is the kernel of the adjoint action of K_n on $\mathfrak{z}$, $K = \varinjlim K_n$, and $K^\dagger = \varinjlim K_n^\dagger$. For each n, Ad_{K_n} maps $L = L_n$ onto U with finite kernel.

If $t \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ denote $\mathcal{O}_t = \mathrm{Ad}^*(L)(t)$, and let $G_{n,t}$, $K_{n,t}$ and $L_{n,t}$ denote the respective stabilizers of t in G_n, K_n and L_n. Since $\mathrm{Ad}^*(G_n)$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_t$ as the compact group L there is an invariant measure ν_t derived from euclidean measure on $\mathfrak{z}^*$. Given $t \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ its stabilizers $G_t = \{g \in G \mid \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)t = t\}$, $K_t = K \cap G_t$ and $L_t = L \cap G_t$. Their pullbacks in G_n are $G_{n,t}$, $K_{n,t}$ and $L_{n,t}$. Note that $K_t = K^\dagger \cdot L_t$ and $K_{n,t} = K_n^\dagger \cdot L_{n,t}$.

Recall $T = \{t \in \mathfrak{z}^* \mid \text{ each } \mathrm{Pf}(b_{n,t}) \neq 0\}$ and fix $t \in T$. Essentially as in the Heisenberg group case, the square integrable representation $\pi_{n,t}$ extends to a unitary representation $\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}$ of $G_{n,t} := N_n \rtimes K_{n,t}$ on the same representation space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$. If $\kappa_{n,t,\lambda} \in \widehat{K_{n,t}}$ has representation space $\mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda}$ we write $\widetilde{\kappa_{n,t,\lambda}}$ for its extension to a representation of $G_{n,t}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda}$ that annihilates N_n. Then we have the irreducible unitary representations

$$\pi^\diamondsuit_{n,t,\lambda} := \widetilde{\pi_{n,t}} \otimes \widetilde{\kappa_{n,t,\lambda}} \in \widehat{G_{n,t}} \text{ with representation space } \mathcal{H}^\diamondsuit_{n,t,\lambda} := \mathcal{H}_{n,t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda}. \tag{5.18}$$

That gives us the unitarily induced representations

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n,t,\lambda} =& \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{n,t}}^{G_n}(\pi^\diamondsuit_{n,t,\lambda}) \in \widehat{G_n} \\ & \text{with representation space } \mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} := \int_{\mathcal{O}_t} (\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)t,\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)\lambda})\, d\nu_t(k(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

According to the Mackey little group theory, (i) $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}$ is irreducible, (ii) $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}$ is equivalent to $\pi_{n,t',\lambda'}$ if and only if $t' \in \mathcal{O}_t$, say $t' = \mathrm{Ad}^*(\ell)t$ where $\ell \in L$, and $\mathrm{Ad}^*(\ell)$ carries λ to λ', and (iii) Plancherel–almost–all irreducible unitary representations of G_n are of the form $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}$ where $t \in T$ and $\kappa_{n,t,\lambda} \in \widehat{K_{n,t}}$. So the left/right regular representation of G_n

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_n =& \sum_\lambda \int_{\mathrm{Ad}^*(K_n)\backslash\mathfrak{z}^*} (\pi_{n,t,\lambda} \boxtimes \pi^*_{n,t,\lambda}) d(\mathrm{Ad}^*(K_n)(t)) \\ & \text{with representation space } L^2(G_n) = \sum_\lambda \int_{\mathrm{Ad}^*(K_n)\backslash\mathfrak{z}^*} (\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{n,t,\lambda}) d(\mathrm{Ad}^*(K_n)(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Since $\pi^\diamondsuit_{n,t,\lambda}$ is square integrable and $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}$ is irreducible, one knows ([W5, Theorem A.1]) that $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}$ has a well defined formal degree. Making use of Corollaries 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16, we have G_n–equivariant isometric injections

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \to L^2(G_m) \text{ based on the } \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{n,t,\lambda} \to \mathcal{H}_{m,t,\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{m,t,\lambda} \\ &\qquad \text{where } \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda}((\deg \pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{1/2} f) = (\deg \pi_{m,t,\lambda})^{1/2} f. \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

With $G := \varinjlim G_n$ we now have the

$$\text{(5.22)} \quad \text{left/right regular representation } \Pi := \varinjlim \Pi_n \text{ of } G \times G \text{ on } L^2(G) := \varinjlim \{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}.$$

Now we turn to regular functions. As one might expect, $\mathcal{E}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ means $\mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda})^*$ viewed as matrix coefficients of $\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$. As in the (4.18) we define

$$\text{(5.23)} \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}(G_{n,t}) := \{\text{finite linear combinations of the } e^{-||t||} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda} \text{ in } \mathcal{E}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}\}, \\ &\mathcal{A}(G_{n,t}) \to \mathcal{A}(G_{m,t}) \text{ by } e^{-||t||} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda} \mapsto e^{-||t||} f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;m,t,\lambda}, \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(G_t) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_{n,t}). \end{aligned}$$

The norm $||t||$ on $\mathfrak{z}^*$ is from a U–invariant inner product.

The representation space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$ of $\pi_{n,t,\lambda} = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{n,t}}^{G_n}(\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda})$ is the space of L^2 sections of the Hilbert space bundle $\mathbb{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda} \to \mathcal{O}_t = K_n/K_{n,t}$. We express it as the space $(L^2(K_n) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,t})^{K_{n,t}}$ of $K_{n,t}$–invariants in $L^2(K_n) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,t}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{poly}}_{n,t}$ denote the space of finite linear combinations of the $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}$ in the space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t}$. Then the underlying algebraic space is the space $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_{n,t}} := (\mathcal{A}(K_n) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{poly}}_{n,t})^{K_{n,t}}$ of $K_{n,t}$–invariants in $\mathcal{A}(K_n) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{poly}}_{n,t}$. With that and (5.23) in mind we define

$$\text{(5.24)} \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}(G_n) := \left\{\text{finite linear comb of the } e^{-||t||} p(t) f_{n,t,\lambda} \text{ where } f_{n,t,\lambda} \in (\mathcal{A}(K_n) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n,t,\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{poly}}_{n,t})^{K_{n,t}}\right\}, \\ &\mathcal{A}(G_n) \to \mathcal{A}(G_m) \text{ by } e^{-||t||} p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;n,t,\lambda} \mapsto e^{-||t||} p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;m,t,\lambda}, \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(G) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n). \end{aligned}$$

where the $p(t)$ are real polynomials on $\mathfrak{z}^*$. The maps corresponding to those of (2.21) are the

$$\eta_{n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda}) \to \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} \text{ by } \eta_{n,t,\lambda}(e^{-||t||} p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;t,\lambda}) = e^{-||t||} \sqrt{\deg \pi_{n,t,\lambda}}\, p(t) f_{\ell,\mathbf{m},i,j;t,\lambda}$$

Proposition 2.22 now tells us that

Proposition 5.25 *The maps $\eta_{m,t,\lambda}$ satisfy $\eta_{m,t,\lambda} \circ \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} = \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} \circ \eta_{n,t,\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})$ and send the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)\}$ into the direct system $\{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m.n}\}$. That system map defines an $(G \times G)$–equivariant injection $\eta : \mathcal{A}(G) \to L^2(G)$ with dense image. In particular η defines a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(G)$ with completion isometric to $L^2(G)$.*

5C Commutative Quotients.

We modify the results of Section 5B to strict direct systems of commutative spaces that satisfy (5.17). Here the L^2 results are recalled from [W5, Section 9].

Theorem 5.26 *Let $t \in T$. Then $(G_{n,t}, K_{n,t})$ is a Gelfand pair. In particular $K_{n,t}$ is multiplicity free on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{v}_n]$.*

We have already used Hilbert bundle model for the induced representation $\pi_{n,t,\lambda} \in \widehat{G_{n,t}}$, given by $\pi_{n,t,\lambda} = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{n,t}}^{G_n}(\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda})$. The representation space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$ of $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}$ consists of all $L^2(K_n/K_{n,t})$

sections of the homogeneous bundle $p : \mathbb{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda} \to G_n/G_{n,t} = K_n/K_{n,t}$ whose typical fiber is the representation space $\mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ of $\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$. Given $k \in K_n$ we write $k \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ for the fiber $p^{-1}(kK_{n,t})$. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ be a $\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}(K_{n,t})$–fixed unit vector. Then u belongs to the fiber $1 \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$, and $k \cdot u \in k \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ depends only on the coset $kK_{n,t}$. Define a section

$$\sigma_u : K_n/K_{n,t} \to \mathbb{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda} \text{ by } \sigma_u(kK_{n,t}) = k \cdot u. \tag{5.27}$$

Then σ_u is a $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}(K_n)$–invariant unit vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$. (We will also write φ_u for the corresponding function $G_n \to \mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ such that $\varphi_u(gg_t) = \pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}(g_t)^{-1}(\varphi_u(g))$ for $g \in G_n$ and $g_t \in G_{n,t}$.) Conversely if σ is a $\pi_{n,t,\lambda}(K_n)$–invariant unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$, then $\sigma(1K_{n,t}) = cu$ where $|c| = 1$ by $K_{n,t}$–invariance, and then $\sigma = c\sigma_u$ by K–invariance. In summary,

Lemma 5.28 *Let $t \in T$ and let u be the unique* (up to scalar multiple) *$\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}(K_{n,t})$–fixed unit vector in $\mathcal{H}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ Then the section σ_u, given by* (5.27)*, is the unique* (up to scalar multiple) *$\pi_{n,t,\lambda}(K)$–fixed unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_{n,t,\lambda}$.*

By Theorem 5.26 we can apply (5.21) and (5.22) to the function spaces $\mathcal{E}^{\diamond}_{n,t,\lambda} = \mathcal{H}^{\diamond}_{n,t,\lambda} \boxtimes (\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}_{n,t,\lambda})^*$ on the groups $G_{n,t} = N_n \rtimes K_{n,t}$. Making use of Lemma 5.28 we have

Proposition 5.29 *If orthogonal projection $\mathcal{E}^{\diamondsuit}_{m,t,\lambda} \to \mathcal{E}^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ sends a nonzero right $K_{m,t}$–invariant function to a nonzero right $K_{n,t}$–invariant function, then orthogonal projection $\mathcal{E}_{m,t,\lambda} \to \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda}$ sends a nonzero right K_m–invariant function to a nonzero right K_n–invariant function.*

E. Vinberg classified the maximal irreducible nilpotent Gelfand pairs. See [V1], [V2], or see [W3, Table 13.4.1]. A Gelfand pair (G_n, K_n) is called *maximal* if it is not obtained from another Gelfand pair (G'_n, K'_n) by the construction $(G_n, K_n) = (G'_n/C, K'_n/(K'_n \cap C))$ for any nontrivial closed connected central subgroup C of G'_n. And (G_n, K_n) is called *irreducible* if $\mathrm{Ad}(K_n)$ is irreducible on $\mathfrak{v}_n = \mathfrak{n}_n/\mathfrak{z}$. See [W3] for the notation.

(5.30)

Maximal Irreducible Nilpotent Gelfand Pairs $(N_n \rtimes K_n, K_n)$ ([V1], [V2])

	Group K_n	$\mathfrak{v}_n$	$\mathfrak{z}$	$U(1)$ is needed if	max requires
1	$SO(n)$	$\mathbb{R}^n$	$\mathrm{Skew}\,\mathbb{R}^{n\times n} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$		
2	$Spin(7)$	$\mathbb{R}^8 = \text{Ø}$	$\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathrm{Im}\,\text{Ø}$		
3	G_2	$\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathrm{Im}\,\text{Ø}$	$\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathrm{Im}\,\text{Ø}$		
4	$U(1) \cdot SO(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$		$n \neq 4$
5	$(U(1)\cdot)SU(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$	n odd	
6	$SU(n), n$ odd	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^n$		
7	$SU(n), n$ odd	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$		
8	$U(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}^{n\times n} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$		
9	$(U(1)\cdot)Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathrm{Re}\,\mathbb{H}^{n\times n}_0 \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$		
10	$U(n)$	$S^2\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$		
11	$(U(1)\cdot)SU(n), n \geqq 3$	$\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	n even	
12	$U(1) \cdot Spin(7)$	$\mathbb{C}^8$	$\mathbb{R}^7 \oplus \mathbb{R}$		
13	$U(1) \cdot Spin(9)$	$\mathbb{C}^{16}$	$\mathbb{R}$		
14	$(U(1)\cdot)Spin(10)$	$\mathbb{C}^{16}$	$\mathbb{R}$		
15	$U(1) \cdot G_2$	$\mathbb{C}^7$	$\mathbb{R}$		

.... table continued on next page

.... table continued from previous page

(5.30)

16	$U(1) \cdot E_6$	$\mathbb{C}^{27}$	$\mathbb{R}$		
17	$Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H} = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$		$n \geqq 2$
18	$Sp(2) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^{2 \times n}$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{sp}(2)$		
19	$(U(1)\cdot)SU(m) \times SU(n)$ $m, n \geqq 3$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	$m = n$	
20	$(U(1)\cdot)SU(2) \times SU(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$	$n = 2$	
21	$(U(1)\cdot)Sp(2) \times SU(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	$n \leqq 4$	$n \geqq 3$
22	$U(2) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$		
23	$U(3) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$		$n \geqq 2$

Splitting some cases to retain parabolicity of $\{K_n\}$, the strict direct systems in Table 5.30, with $\dim \mathfrak{z}_n$ bounded, are

(5.31)

Direct Systems of Maximal Irreducible Nilpotent Gelfand Pairs $(N_n \rtimes K_n, K_n)$

	Group K_n	$\mathfrak{v}_n$	$\mathfrak{z}_n$	$U(1)$ is needed if	max requires
4a	$U(1) \cdot SO(2n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n}$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$		$n \neq 2$
4b	$U(1) \cdot SO(2n+1)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$		
7	$SU(n), n$ odd	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}$		
10	$U(n)$	$S^2\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$		
11	$(U(1)\cdot)SU(n), n \geqq 3$	$\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	n even	
17	$Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H} = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$		$n \geqq 2$
18	$Sp(2) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^{2 \times n}$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{sp}(2)$		
19	$(U(1)\cdot)SU(m) \times SU(n)$ $m, n \geqq 3$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	$m = n$	
20a	$SU(2) \times SU(n), n \geqq 3$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$		
20b	$U(2) \times SU(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$		
21	$(U(1)\cdot)Sp(2) \times SU(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	$n \leqq 4$	$n \geqq 3$
22	$U(2) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$		
23	$U(3) \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{H}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$		$n \geqq 2$

In each case of Table 5.31, [W3, Theorem 14.4.3] says that N_n has square integrable representations. In the cases $\dim \mathfrak{z} > 1$ of Table 5.31 we have $K_n = K_n^\dagger \cdot L$ where the big factor $K_n^\dagger$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{z}$ and the small factor L acts on $\mathfrak{z}$ by its adjoint representation. Summarizing these observations,

Proposition 5.32 *Each direct system* $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ *of* Table 5.31 *has the properties* (i) $\{K_n\}$ *is parabolic,* (ii) *the* $\{K_{n,t}\}$ *are parabolic for each* $t \in T$*, and* (iii) N_n *has square integrable representations.*

From Theorem 5.26 and the argument of Lemma 4.20,

Corollary 5.33 *Let $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ be a direct system of* Table 5.31 *and let $t \in T$. Then $\pi^{\diamondsuit}_{n,t,\lambda}$ has a nonzero $K_{n,t}$–fixed vector if and only if $\kappa^*_{n,t,\lambda}$ occurs as a subrepresentation of $\widetilde{\pi_{n,t}}|_{K_{n,t}}$, and in that case the space of $K_{n,t}$–fixed vectors has dimension* 1.

Now everything goes essentially as in the Heisenberg nilmanifold cases of Section 4. We have isometric G_n–equivariant injections

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{E}^{K_n}_{n,t,\lambda} \to \mathcal{E}^{K_m}_{m,t,\lambda} \text{ by } \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,t,\lambda}((\deg \pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{1/2} f) = c_{m,n,t,\lambda}(\deg \pi_{m,t,\lambda})^{1/2} f \tag{5.34}$$

where as in (4.24), $c_{m,n,t,\lambda}$ is the ratio (4.23) of lengths of K_n, K_m fixed unit vectors. Integrating on t and summing λ gives isometric G_n–equivariant injections $\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n} : L^2(G_n/K_n) \to L^2(G_m/K_m)$, as follows.

Theorem 5.35 *For each of the direct systems of* Table 5.31 *denote $G = \varinjlim\{G_n\}$, $N = \varinjlim N_n$ and $K = \varinjlim\{K_n\}$. Note $G = N \rtimes K$. Then $\{L^2(G_n/K_n), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\}$ is a strict direct system of Hilbert spaces in which the maps $\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \to L^2(G_m)$ are G_n–equivariant injections. That gives us the left regular representation of G on the Hilbert space $L^2(G) := \varinjlim\{L^2(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\}$. Further, that left/right regular representation is the multiplicity–free $\sum_\lambda \int_{\mathrm{Ad}^*(L)\backslash\mathfrak{z}^*}(\pi_{\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)t,\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)\lambda} \boxtimes \pi^*_{\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)t,\mathrm{Ad}^*(k)\lambda})\, dk$ where $\pi_{t,\lambda} := \varinjlim \pi_{n,t,\lambda}$.*

Similarly using $w_{m,\lambda} = c_{m,n,t,\lambda} w_{n,\lambda} + x$ with $x \perp \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda}$ with $0 < c_{n,t,\lambda} \leqq 1$,

$$\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) := \mathcal{A}(G_n)^{K_n} = \mathcal{A}(G_n) \cap \mathcal{E}^{K_n}_{n,t,\lambda} \tag{5.36}$$

leading to direct systems and their limits by assembling the maps

$$\nu_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\pi_{m,t,\lambda})^{K_m} \text{ by } f_{u,v_n,n} \mapsto f_{u,v_m,m} \text{ where } p_{m,n,t,\lambda}(v_m) = v_n \tag{5.37}$$

Then we have direct systems and their limits

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}(G) = \varinjlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n), \zeta_{m,n}\} \text{ where } \zeta_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{E}_{n,t,\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m,t,\lambda} \text{ (5.21), and} \\ &\mathcal{A}(G/K) = \varinjlim\{\mathcal{A}(G_n)/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\} \text{ where } \nu_{m,n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{E}^{K_n}_{n,t,\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{K_m}_{m,t,\lambda} \text{ (5.37).} \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

As before, each $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ is dense in $L^2(G_n/K_n)$, and we pass this comparison to the limit with the maps

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{n,t,\lambda} : \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{n,t,\lambda}} \otimes (w_{n,t,\lambda^*}\mathbb{C}) \text{ by } f \mapsto c_{n,1,t,\lambda} \sqrt{\deg \pi_{n,t,\lambda}}\, f. \tag{5.39}$$

We conclude

Proposition 5.40 *The $\widetilde{\eta}_{n,t,\lambda}$ satisfy $(\widetilde{\eta}_{m,t,\lambda} \circ \nu_{m,n,t,\lambda})(f) = (\widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n,\lambda} \circ \widetilde{\eta}_{n,t,\lambda})(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{n,t,\lambda})^{K_n}$ Thus they inject the direct system $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n), \nu_{m,n}\}$ of regular functions into the direct system $\{L^2(G_n/K_n), \widetilde{\zeta}_{m,n}\}$. That map of direct systems defines a G–equivariant injection $\widetilde{\eta} : \mathcal{A}(G/K) \to L^2(G/K)$ with dense image. In particular $\widetilde{\eta}$ defines a pre Hilbert space structure on $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ with completion isometric to $L^2(G/K)$.*

5D Reducible Quotients.

There are more many strict direct sequences of nilmanifold Gelfand pairs (G_n, K_n), e.g. those for which the action of K_n on $\mathfrak{n}_n/\mathfrak{z}_n$ is reducible. These (G_n/K_n) are constructed from certain basic ones that satisfy several technical conditions (indecomposable, principal, maximal and $Sp(1)$–saturated). See [Y1],[Y2], [Y3], [W3] and [W5]. The basic such direct systems, with K_n reducible on $\mathfrak{n}_n/\mathfrak{z}_n$, $\dim \mathfrak{z}_n$ bounded and $\{K_n\}$ parabolic, are tabulated in [W5, Table 9.15] as follows. Here the numbering comes from [W5, Table 9.14], $N_\ell = N'_\ell \times Z_\ell$ with Z_ℓ central and maximal for that, $G_\ell = N_\ell \rtimes K_\ell$ and $G'_\ell = N'_\ell \rtimes K_\ell$. The generalized Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ is $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}^n$ with $[(z,w),(z',w')] = (z + z' + \operatorname{Im}\langle w, w'\rangle, w + w')$ where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$ (quaternions) or Ø (octonions).

(5.41)

Strict Direct Systems $\{(G_\ell, K_\ell)\}$ and $\{(G'_\ell, K'_\ell)\}$ of Gelfand Pairs with $\dim \mathfrak{z}'_\ell$ Bounded

	Group K_ℓ	K_ℓ–module $\mathfrak{v}_\ell$	$\mathfrak{z}'_\ell = [\mathfrak{n}_\ell, \mathfrak{n}_\ell]$	$\mathfrak{z}''_\ell$	Algebra $\mathfrak{n}'_\ell$
1	$U(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(n)$	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{C}}$
3	$U(1) \times U(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^n$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{n(n-1)/2;\mathbb{C}}$
6	$S(U(4) \times U(m))$	$\mathbb{C}^{4\times m}$	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}^6$	$\mathfrak{h}_{4m;\mathbb{C}}$
7	$U(m) \times U(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{m\times n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{mn;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{m;\mathbb{C}}$
8	$U(1) \times Sp(n) \times U(1)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2n}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}}$
9	$Sp(1) \times Sp(n) \times U(1)$	$\mathbb{H}^n \oplus \mathbb{H}^n$	$\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{H}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}}$
10	$Sp(1) \times Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$	$\mathbb{H}^n \oplus \mathbb{H}^n$	$\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{H}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{H}}$
11a	$Sp(n) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{H}^n$	$\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$	$\mathbb{H}^{n\times m}$	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{H}}$
11b	$Sp(n) \times U(1) \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{H}^n$	$\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$	$\mathbb{H}^{n\times m}$	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{H}}$
11c	$Sp(n) \times \{1\} \times Sp(m)$	$\mathbb{H}^n$	$\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$	$\mathbb{H}^{n\times m}$	$\mathfrak{h}_{n;\mathbb{H}}$
18a	$SU(n) \times SU(2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2}$	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}}$
18b	$U(n) \times SU(2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2}$	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}}$
18c	$U(1)Sp(\frac{n}{2}) \times SU(2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2}$	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}}$
19a	$SU(n) \times U(2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2;\mathbb{C}}$
19b	$U(n) \times U(2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2;\mathbb{C}}$
19c	$U(1)Sp(\frac{n}{2}) \times U(2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2;\mathbb{C}}$
20aa	$SU(n) \times SU(2) \times SU(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20ab	$SU(n) \times SU(2) \times U(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20ac	$SU(n) \times SU(2) \times U(1)Sp(\frac{m}{2})$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20ba	$U(n) \times SU(2) \times SU(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20bb	$U(n) \times SU(2) \times U(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20bc	$U(n) \times SU(2) \times U(1)Sp(\frac{m}{2})$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20ca	$U(1)Sp(\frac{n}{2}) \times SU(2) \times SU(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20cb	$U(1)Sp(\frac{n}{2}) \times SU(2) \times U(m)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
20cc	$U(1)Sp(\frac{n}{2}) \times SU(2) \times$ $U(1)Sp(\frac{m}{2})\}$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times m}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m;\mathbb{C}}$
21a	$SU(n) \times SU(2) \times U(4)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times 4}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}^6$	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{8;\mathbb{C}}$
21b	$U(n) \times SU(2) \times U(4)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times 4}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}^6$	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{8;\mathbb{C}}$
21c	$U(1)Sp(\frac{n}{2}) \times SU(2) \times U(4)$	$\mathbb{C}^{n\times 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\times 4}$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}^6$	$\mathfrak{h}_{2n;\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{8;\mathbb{C}}$

One obtains the structure of $L^2(G/K)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ for the cases of Table 5.41 by a straightforward modification of the considerations involved for the direct systems of Table 5.31. We leave the details to the reader.

References

[BJR] C. Benson, J. Jenkins & G. Ratcliff, On Gelfand pairs associated with solvable Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990). 85–116.

[Ca] G. Carcano, A commutativity condition for algebras of invariant functions, Boll. Un. Mat. Italiano **7** (1987), 1091–1105.

[Ch] C. Chevalley, "Theory of Lie Groups, I", Princeton University Press, 1946.

[DPW] I. Dimitrov, I. Penkov & J. A. Wolf, A Bott–Borel–Weil theory for direct limits of algebraic groups, Amer. J of Math. **124** (2002), 955–998.

[K] V. Kač, Some remarks on nilpotent orbits, J. Algebra **64** (1980), 190–213.

[La] J. Lauret, Modified H–type groups and symmetric–like riemannian spaces, Diff. Geom. Appl. **10** (1999), 121–143.

[Li] W. Lichtenstein, Qualitative behavior of special functions on compact symmetric spaces, J. Funct. Anal. **34** (1979), 433–455.

[MW] C. C. Moore & J. A. Wolf, Square integrable representations of nilpotent groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **185** (1973), 445–462.

[NRW] L. Natarajan, E. Rodríguez-Carrington & J. A. Wolf, Locally convex Lie groups, Nova Journal of Algebra and Geometry **2** (1993), 59–87.

[P] R. S. Palais, The classification of G–Spaces, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., No. 36, 1960.

[T] T. Tannaka, Über den Dualitätssatz der nichtkommutativen topologischen Gruppen, Tohoku Math. J. **45** (1938), 1–12.

[V1] E. B. Vinberg, Commutative homogeneous spaces and co–isotropic symplectic actions, Russian Math. Surveys **56** (2001), 1–60.

[V2] E. B. Vinberg, Commutative homogeneous spaces of Heisenberg type, Trans Moscow Math. Soc. **64** (2003), 45–78.

[W1] J. A. Wolf, Representations of certain semidirect product groups, J. Funct. Analysis **19** (1975), 339–372.

[W2] J. A. Wolf, Direct limits of principal series representations, Compositio Mathematica, **141** (2005), 1504–1530.

[W3] J. A. Wolf, "Harmonic Analysis on Commutative Spaces," Mathematical Surveys & Monographs **142**, American Math. Soc., 2007.

[W4] J. A. Wolf, Infinite dimensional multiplicity free spaces I: Limits of compact commutative spaces, in "Developments and Trends in Infinite Dimensional Lie Theory", ed. K–H Neeb & A. Pianzola, Birkhäuser, to appear in 2009. {arXiv:0801.3869 (math.RT, math.DG).}

[W5] J. A. Wolf, Infinite dimensional multiplicity free spaces II: Limits of commutative nilmanifolds. Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Lie Theory and Geometry, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 491 (2009), pp. 179–208. {arXiv:0801.3866 (math.RT, math.DG).}

[Y1] O. S. Yakimova, Weakly symmetric riemannian manifolds with reductive isometry group, Math. USSR Sbornik **195** (2004), 599–614.

[Y2] O. S. Yakimova, Gelfand pairs, Bonner Math. Schriften (Universität Bonn) **374**, 2005.

[Y3] O. S. Yakimova, Principal Gelfand pairs, Transformation Groups **11** (2006), 305–335.

[Yan] C. T. Yang, On a problem of Montgomery, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 255–257.

Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720–3840, USA

`jawolf@math.berkeley.edu`